arXiv:1411.5865v3 [math.NA] 31 Oct 2016

HARMONIC DECOMPOSITIONS ON UNIONS OF GRASSMANNIANS

MARTIN EHLER AND MANUEL GRÄF

ABSTRACT. The Grassmannian space $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ is naturally identified with the set of projectors of rank k in d-dimensional Euclidean space. As a symmetric homogeneous space of the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$, the dimension of the space of polynomials of degree at most t is known. Moreover, the concepts of Grassmannian t-designs and cubature points as sampling sets have been well-studied.

Here, we investigate the harmonic analysis needed for polynomial approximation of functions on unions of Grassmannians $\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{G}_{k_r,d}$. We derive the decomposition of polynomial spaces on unions of Grassmannians into components of irreducible orthogonally invariant subspaces, enabling us to determine the dimension of the space of polynomials of degree at most t. The concept of cubature points and t-designs is then generalized to projectors of varying rank. We derive a characterization as minimizers of a suitable energy potential, so that t-designs in unions of Grassmannians can be constructed by numerical optimization procedures. We also present new analytic families of t-designs, for $t = 1, 2, 3$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In computer vision and recognition, orthogonal projectors with fixed rank are used for analysis and dimension reduction purposes, [35, 58], leading to a function approximation problem on a single Grassmannian manifold. Projections with varying target dimensions offer more flexibility than fixing the dimension of the low-dimensional embedding a-priori. Therefore, we aim to lay some foundations of function approximation on unions of Grassmannians $\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{G}_{k_r,d}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d}$ denotes the set of projectors of rank k_i in d-dimensional Euclidean space.

Polynomial approximation from samples on manifolds and homogeneous spaces has been extensively studied in the literature, see, for instance, [21, 25, 32, 44] and references therein. As particular sampling rules, the concepts of cubatures of strength t and t-designs have also been widely investigated, cf. [23, 28, 29, 38, 42, 49, 50], where polynomials up to degree t are integrated exactly by a finite sum over the sampling values. However, many open questions remain when dealing with polynomials on unions of non-connected manifolds of possibly different dimensions.

Here, we determine the structure of polynomial spaces on the union of Grassmannians, which generalizes the findings in [40] for single Grassmannians. Furthermore, while cubatures and t-designs in single Grassmannians have been studied in [2, 3, 4, 5], we shall also investigate these concepts in unions of Grassmannians.

As a motivating framework, cf. [19, 53], suppose $\mathcal{S}(K)$ is a finite dimensional reproducing kernel Hilbert space with associated kernel K. A function f can then

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C10, 65D32; Secondary 46E22, 33C45.

be approximated by

$$f(\cdot) \approx \sum_i c_i K(x_i, \cdot),$$

where $\{x_i\}_i$ are chosen such that $\{K(x_i,\cdot)\}_i$ is a basis for $\mathcal{S}(K)$. If $\{x_i\}_i$ are the sampling points of f, then determining the coefficients $\{c_i\}_i$ amounts to inverting $\big(K(x_i,x_j)\big)_{i,j}$. Important questions are, how many samples are needed and how do we select them? If $\mathcal{S}(K)$ has finite dimension n, then we need n sample points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Heuristically, the points need to be well-distributed, which led to approaches in the literature, where $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are determined as minimizers of certain energy functionals, cf. [17, 18, 23, 24, 36, 37, 38, 43, 49, 55]. Note, however, that the use in kernel approximation requires knowledge of the dimension of $\mathcal{S}(K)$.

In the present paper, we shall consider $\mathcal{S}(K) = \mathrm{Pol}_t(\bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})$, the space of polynomials on the unions of Grassmannians of degree t. We achieve two major goals,

A) we determine the dimension of $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})$,
B) we compute n points $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{G}_{k_i,d}$ that are well distributed with beneficial integration properties,

both goals, under the premise of learning more about the harmonic analysis of $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})$. In particular, we derive a convolution theorem for kernels and decompose the orthogonally invariant reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces on $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{G}_{k_i,d}$ into irreducible representations of the orthogonal group. More precisely, the goals A) and B) are achieved by the following intermediate results:

A1) A particularly simple reproducing kernel K_t for the polynomial space on unions of Grassmannians is determined.
A2) We construct a suitable orthogonal basis for the space of zonal square integrable kernels on unions of Grassmannians, for which the corresponding Fourier coefficients are naturally arranged in matrix form and for which a convolution theorem is established.
A3) We prove that the multiplicities of the irreducible representations of the orthogonal group occurring in an orthogonally invariant reproducing kernel Hilbert space on unions of Grassmannians coincide with the ranks of the kernel's Fourier coefficients.
A4) By invoking zonal polynomials and generalized Jacobi polynomials, we are able to determine the rank of K_t's Fourier coefficients leading to the following dimension formula: Given integers $\frac{d}{2} \geq k_1 > \ldots > k_r \geq 1$, then we verify that, for $s := \min\{t, r-1\}$,

$$\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t\big(\bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{G}_{k_i,d}\big)) = \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_{t-i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})).$$

B1) The concept of t-designs in unions of Grassmannians is introduced, and we derive a characterization as minimizers of an energy functional induced by K_t.
B2) By numerically minimizing the energy functional we compute candidates for t-designs, i.e., t-designs up to machine precision. We are able to describe these candidates analytically and check that the energy functional is minimized exactly.

Note that the restriction $\frac{d}{2} \geq k_i$ in step (6) can be removed, but the corresponding dimension formula needs adjustments, cf. Corollary 7.4. Due to this extension, for instance, we needed to define generalized Jacobi polynomials beyond the parameter range in [40] and verified their relations to intertwining functions on Grassmannians.

Two remarks are in order:

Remark 1.1 (concerning A)**.** It should be mentioned that unions of Grassmannians share some common theme with Euclidean designs, cf. [49], where unions of spheres with varying radii in Euclidean space are considered, see also [7, 8, 9, 10]. The (simple) structure of the polynomial spaces on unions of spheres have been investigated in [24], but the elementary ideas in those proofs do not work for unions of Grassmannians. In our present setting, the structure of the polynomials spaces is more involved and we need to utilize representation theory more extensively.

Remark 1.2 (concerning B)**.** Say we are allowed to pick n_1 points in $\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d}$ and n_2 points in $\mathcal{G}_{k_2,d}$, and we aim to exactly integrate a polynomial f on the union $\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d} \cup \mathcal{G}_{k_2,d}$ through those $n_1 + n_2$ points. Treating each Grassmannian separately, i.e., $f_{|\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d}}$ with n_i cubature points, we denote the maximal degree of $f_{|\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d}}$ for exact integration on $\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d}$ by t_i, respectively. Our machinery in the present paper enables us to relate the Grassmannians to each other, so that we obtain examples of exact integration on the union $\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d} \cup \mathcal{G}_{k_2,d}$ of polynomials of degree $t > \max(t_1, t_2)$. The simplest example is provided in Section 9.1 formed by one line and one hyperplane for arbitrary $d \geq 3$ with $t = 1 > t_1 = t_2 = 0$, see also Table 1 for related findings with particularly few points.

Outline. In Section 2 we recall some facts on polynomial spaces on single Grassmannians and their irreducible decompositions. Since Grassmannians are naturally embedded into the space of symmetric matrices $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, we collect in Section 3 some facts on irreducible decompositions of polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$ as a framework that is used later. In Section 4 we derive the kernel K_t of A1).

Intertwining functions are studied in Section 5 as preparatory work for constructing a suitable basis for zonal kernels. Indeed, A2) is addressed in Section 5.4 building upon intertwining functions, and A3) is treated in Section 5.5.

In Section 6 we perform a change of basis by using zonal polynomials and our extension of generalized Jacobi polynomials keeping the achievements of A3) valid. The dimension formula for A4) is proved in Section 7.

Section 8 is dedicated to B1). In Section 8.1 we introduce cubatures and t-designs on unions of Grassmannians and in Section 8.2 we derive a characterization as minimizers of an energy functional. B2) is presented in Section 9.

2. Polynomials on Grassmannians

2.1. **Polynomials on single Grassmannians.** The Grassmannian space of all k-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is naturally identified with the set of orthogonal projectors on $\mathbb{R}^d$ of rank k denoted by

$$\mathcal{G}_{k,d} := \{P \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}} \ : \ P^2 = P; \ \mathrm{Tr}(P) = k\},$$

where $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$ is the set of symmetric matrices in $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}$. Recall that any Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ admits a uniquely determined orthogonally invariant probability measure $\sigma_{k,d}$ induced by the Haar (probability) measure $\sigma_{\mathcal{O}(d)}$ on the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$,

i.e., for any $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ and measurable function f we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} f(P)\mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,d}(P) = \int_{\mathcal{O}(d)} f(OQO^\top)\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(O).$$

Hence, orthogonal invariance means invariance under orthogonal conjugation

$$\tau_O : \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}} \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}, \quad \tau_O(X) := OXO^\top, \qquad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}},\ O \in \mathcal{O}(d).$$

The space of complex-valued, square-integrable functions is defined as

$$L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}) := \big\{ f : \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \to \mathbb{C} \mid \int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} |f(P)|^2 \mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,d}(P) < \infty \big\}$$

and equipped with the inner-product

$$(f,g)_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} f(P)\overline{g(P)}\mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,d}(P), \qquad f,g \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}).$$

Since the space $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ is orthogonally invariant it gives rise to a (complex) representation of the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$. In particular, it decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible representations of the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$, which are indexed by a subset of partitions of the natural numbers. Recall, a partition of t is an integer vector $\pi = (\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_t)$ with $\pi_1 \geq \ldots \geq \pi_t \geq 0$ and $|\pi| = t$, where $|\pi| := \sum_{i=1}^t \pi_i$ is the size of π. The length $l(\pi)$ is the number of nonzero parts of π. Note that we add and suppress zero entries in π without further notice, so that we can also compare partitions of different lengths, e.g., $\pi_{l(\pi)+1} = 0$ for any partition π. For partitions π, π' of integers t, t', respectively, we denote $\pi \leq \pi'$ if and only if $\pi_i \leq \pi'_i$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, l(\pi') + 1$. Finally, we denote by $\overline{\pi}$ the conjugate of a partition π where its k-th part is given by $\overline{\pi}_k := |\{i \mid \pi_i \geq k\}|$, $k = 1, \ldots, |\pi|$. Then it is is known that the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}^d_\pi$ of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ are uniquely indexed by all partitions π such that $\overline{\pi}_1 + \overline{\pi}_2 \leq d$.

The irreducible decomposition of $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ is

$$L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}) = \bigoplus_{l(\pi)\leq \min\{k,d-k\}} H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}), \qquad H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}) \perp H_{\pi'}(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}), \quad \pi \neq \pi', \tag{1}$$

where $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$, the irreducible representation of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ associated to the partition $2\pi = (2\pi_1, \ldots, 2\pi_t)$, cf. [5, 40]. Recall, two representations are equivalent if there exists a linear isomorphism between these representations, which additionally commutes with the group action. In other words, there is an isomorph intertwining operator. In our concrete case, the subspace $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ is a subset of polynomials of degree precisely $t = |\pi|$ in the entries of d-by-d matrices evaluated on $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$. The space of polynomials with degree at most t on $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ is given by

$$\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}) := \{ f|_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} : f \in \mathbb{C}[X]_t \} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbb{C}[X]_t$ is the collection of multivariate polynomials of degree at most t with d^2 many variables arranged as a matrix $X \in \mathbb{C}^{d\times d}$ and $f|_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}}$ denotes the restriction of f to $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$. This polynomial space decomposes as

$$\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\pi|\leq t,\\ l(\pi)\leq \min\{k,d-k\}}} H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}). \tag{3}$$

A basis of $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ can be constructed from the knowledge of its dimension $\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}))$ and its reproducing kernel, cf. Appendix A for some basics on the theory of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces.

The decomposition (3) yields that, for $1 \leq k < d$ with $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain

$$\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})) = \sum_{\substack{|\pi| \leq t, \\ l(\pi) \leq \min\{k, d-k\}}} \dim(\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}). \tag{4}$$

Note that the dimension of $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ is known from representation theory and can be derived for instance from [31, Formulas (24.29) and (24.41)], i.e., for $l(\pi) \leq \frac{d}{2}$,

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}^d_\pi) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{d}{2}} \frac{(\ell_i + \ell_j)(\ell_i - \ell_j)}{(j-i)(d-i-j)} \cdot \begin{cases} \prod\limits_{1 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}} \frac{2\ell_i}{d-2i}, & d \text{ odd}, \\ 2, & d \text{ even and } \pi_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} > 0, \\ 1, & d \text{ even and } \pi_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

with $\ell_i := \frac{d}{2} + \pi_i - i$, for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{d}{2}$.

Remark 2.1. The definition (2) of polynomials on $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ by means of restrictions is consistent with the concept of polynomials on compact manifolds as used in [52] for approximation purposes. Moreover, the spaces $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ in the decomposition (3) are the eigenspaces of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$. Therefore, the polynomials on $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ defined by (2) can also be considered as diffusion polynomials in the context of [27, 44, 46, 47].

2.2. **Polynomials on unions of Grassmannians.** Given a non-empty set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$, we define the corresponding union of Grassmannians by

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} := \bigcup_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{G}_{k,d} = \{P \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}_{\mathrm{sym}} \ : \ P^2 = P, \ \mathrm{Tr}(P) \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

As for a single Grassmannian, the polynomials on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ are given by multivariate polynomials in the matrix entries of a given projector $P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) := \{f|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} : f \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})\}. \tag{6}$$

A simple lower bound on $\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}))$ is presented in the following Theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{K} = \{k_1, \ldots, k_r\} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be given, such that $r = |\mathcal{K}|$ and*

$$\min\{k_1, d-k_1\} \geq \cdots \geq \min\{k_r, d-k_r\}. \tag{7}$$

Then it holds

$$\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s} \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_{t-i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})), \quad s := \min\{t+1, r\}. \tag{8}$$

Proof. We will show that the lower bound (8) is valid for any ordering of the indices $k_1, \ldots, k_r$. In particular it holds for the ordering specified in (7) which maximizes the right hand side over all such lower bounds, cf. (3).

For $t = 0$ or $r = 1$, the sum in (8) reduces to a single term, so that the lower bound indeed holds. For fixed $t \geq 1$, we verify the general case by induction over r, where we proceed from $r-1$ to r with $r \geq 2$.

Choose $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ and $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathrm{Pol}_{t-1}(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ such that $\{f_i|_{\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d}}\}_{i=1}^m$ and $\{g_j|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}\setminus\{k_1\},d}}\}_{j=1}^n$ are bases for the spaces $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d})$ and $\mathrm{Pol}_{t-1}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}\setminus\{k_1\},d})$, respectively. We infer that any linear combination

$$h := \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i f_i|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \big(\operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)\big|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} - k_1\big) g_j|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$$

is contained in $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. Suppose now that h vanishes on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$. In particular, h vanishes on $\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d}$, so that $\alpha_i = 0$, $i = 1,\dots,m$. Additionally vanishing on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}\setminus\{k_1\},d}$ yields $\beta_j = 0$, $j = 1,\dots,n$. Hence, the function system

$$\big\{f_i|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}\big\}_{i=1}^m \cup \big\{\big(\operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)\big|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} - k_1\big) g_j|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}\big\}_{j=1}^n$$

is linearly independent in $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. With $s-1 = \min\{t, r-1\}$ we infer by the induction hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})) &\geq \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d})) + \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_{t-1}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}\setminus\{k_1\},d})) \\
&\geq \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d})) + \sum_{i=1}^{(s-1)} \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_{(t-1)-i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{k_{i+1},d})) \\
&= \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{k_1,d})) + \sum_{i=2}^{s} \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_{t-i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{s} \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_{t-i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lower bound (8). □

In order to obtain the precise decomposition into orthogonal invariant subspaces as in the case of single Grassmannians, we need to use more advanced concepts from harmonic analysis and representation theory. In particular, we will show that the above lower bound is matched, cf. Corollary 7.4.

3. Polynomials on symmetric matrices and their orthogonal decomposition

We shall outline some fundamentals on the decomposition of polynomials evaluated on symmetric matrices and define the space of polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$ with degree at most t by

$$\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) := \{f|_{\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}} : f \in \mathbb{C}[X]_t\}$$

and the space of homogeneous polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$ of degree t is denoted by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) := \{f \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) : f(\lambda X) = \lambda^t f(X),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C},\ X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}\}.$$

Note, any polynomial $f \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ is precisely a polynomial of degree at most t in $d(d+1)/2$ many variables $X_{i,j}$, $1 \leq i \leq j \leq d$, which can be arranged as a symmetric d-by-d matrix X.

As used in [34] we equip the space with the differential inner product defined as follows. To a given polynomial $f \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ we associate the differential operator

$\mathrm{D}_f := f(\mathrm{D})$, where we plug into f the symmetric matrix of partial derivatives

$$\mathrm{D} := \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{1,1} & \frac{1}{2}\partial_{1,2} & \dots & \frac{1}{2}\partial_{1,d} \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial_{1,2} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{1}{2}\partial_{1,d-1} \\ \frac{1}{2}\partial_{1,d} & \dots & \frac{1}{2}\partial_{1,d-1} & \partial_{d,d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the differential inner product is given by

$$(f, \overline{g})_{\mathrm{D}} := (\mathrm{D}_f \overline{g})(0), \qquad f, g \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}). \tag{9}$$

Equivalently, it is determined on the basis of monomials

$$m_\alpha(X) := \prod_{1\le i\le j\le d} x_{i,j}^{\alpha_{i,j}}, \qquad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{d\times d} \cap \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}},$$

by the values

$$(m_\alpha, m_{\alpha'})_{\mathrm{D}} = \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \alpha! 2^{-s(\alpha)}, \qquad \alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{N}_0^{d\times d} \cap \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}},$$

where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\alpha! := \prod_{1\le i\le j\le d} \alpha_{i,j}!$ with $s(\alpha) := \sum_{1\le i<j\le d} \alpha_{i,j}$ and $\delta_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ is the Kronecker delta. Using the observation that, for $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, and $f \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$, we have

$$(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{Tr}(X\cdot)} f)(Y) = \sum_{1\le i\le j\le d} X_{i,j} \partial_{i,j} f(Y) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s} f(Y + sX)|_{s=0},$$

it can be shown as in [57] that this particular inner product is orthogonally invariant

$$(f \circ \tau_O, g \circ \tau_O)_{\mathrm{D}} = (f, g)_{\mathrm{D}}, \qquad f, g \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}), \quad O \in \mathcal{O}(d).$$

By the orthogonality of the monomials, we have trivially the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{t} \mathrm{Hom}_s(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}). \tag{10}$$

For $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, let the trace inner product be denoted by $\langle X, Y\rangle := \mathrm{Tr}(XY)$. Then, by using results in [34] it can be shown that the mapping

$$(X, Y) \mapsto \frac{1}{s!}\langle X, Y\rangle^s$$

is the reproducing kernel for $\mathrm{Hom}_s(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ with respect to the differentiation inner product, so that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_s(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) = \mathrm{span}\{\langle X, \cdot\rangle^s : X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}\}. \tag{11}$$

Note, these results are consequences of the relation

$$\mathrm{D}_f \exp(\langle X, \cdot\rangle) = f(X) \exp(\langle X, \cdot\rangle), \qquad f \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}), \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}},$$

so that $(X, Y) \mapsto \exp(\langle X, Y\rangle)$ can be considered as the reproducing kernel for the completion of the space of all polynomials with respect to the differential inner product (9). See Appendix A for more details on the concept of reproducing kernels.

The homogeneous polynomials of degree t can be further orthogonally decomposed into

$$\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\pi|=t,\\ l(\pi)\leq d}} F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}), \tag{12}$$

where $F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}^d_{2\pi}$, the irreducible representation of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ associated to the partition $2\pi = (2\pi_1, \ldots, 2\pi_t)$ under the action

$$f \mapsto f(L \cdot L^\top), \qquad f \in \mathrm{Hom}_t(R^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}), \quad L \in \mathrm{GL}(d). \tag{13}$$

Starting from results in [34] one can check that the function

$$(X, Y) \to \frac{1}{|\pi|!} C_\pi(XY)$$

is the reproducing kernel for $F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ with respect to (9), where C_π are the zonal polynomials, see Appendix B.

Restricting the group action (13) of $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ to the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$ the space $F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ decomposes further as

$$F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) \cong \mathcal{F}^d_{2\pi} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi'\leq 2\pi,\\ \overline{\pi}'_1+\overline{\pi}'_2\leq d}} \nu^d_{2\pi,\pi'} \mathcal{H}^d_{\pi'}, \qquad l(\pi) \leq d, \tag{14}$$

where the multiplicities $\nu^d_{2\pi,\pi'} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are determined by the corresponding branching rule, cf. [39, 41, 30]. Note that in the decomposition (14) the partition π' need not be even, see Example 4.6. In particular, one observes $\nu^d_{2\pi,2\pi} = 1$ for $l(\pi) \leq d/2$ and $\nu^d_{2\pi,(0)} = 1$ for $l(\pi) \leq d$. Moreover, one can verify that the mapping

$$(X, Y) \mapsto \frac{C_\pi(X)C_\pi(Y)}{|\pi|!C_\pi(I_d)}$$

is the reproducing kernel for the component in $F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ that is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}^d_{(0)}$.

4. Structure of the polynomial spaces on unions of Grassmannians

In this section we shall present first results regarding the orthogonal decomposition of the polynomial space $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. Therefore, as exposed in the previous section, we shall also consider the space of homogeneous polynomials restricted to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ denoted by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) := \{f|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} : f \in \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})\}.$$

It is remarkable that $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ already contains the restrictions of homogeneous polynomials of lower degree greater than zero.

Lemma 4.1. *For $t \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ it holds*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) = \{f|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} : f \in \mathrm{Hom}_s(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}),\ s = 1, \ldots, t\}.$$

Proof. Due to (11), it is sufficient to show

$$\langle X, \cdot\rangle^s|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), \qquad s = 1, \ldots, t, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}.$$

Indeed, this follows from the observation

$$\langle X, P\rangle^s = \langle X, P\rangle^{s-1}\langle X, P^{t-s+1}\rangle, \qquad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}, \quad P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}, \quad 1 \leq s \leq t,$$

where the term on the right hand side is a homogeneous polynomial of degree t in P restricted to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$. □

The decomposition of $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ analogous to (10) has the following structure.

Theorem 4.2. *For $t \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1,\dots,d-1\}$ the polynomial space decomposes as*

$$\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_0(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), & 1 \leq t \leq |\mathcal{K}|-1, \\ \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), & \textit{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.1 we already know

$$\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) = \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) + \mathrm{Hom}_0(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

It remains to check that the constant function $1|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$, satisfies

$$1|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} \notin \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad 1 \leq t \leq |\mathcal{K}|-1.$$

Since $1|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$ is orthogonally invariant, it is sufficient to consider the orthogonally invariant subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ denoted as $\mathrm{Hom}_t^{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. That is to prove (ii) we will show

$$1|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} \notin \mathrm{Hom}_t^{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \qquad \text{if and only if} \qquad 1 \leq t \leq |\mathcal{K}|-1. \tag{15}$$

Let the average over the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$ of a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ be denoted by

$$f_\circ(X) := \int_{\mathcal{O}(d)} f(OXO^\top) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(O), \qquad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}.$$

Then we find

$$\mathrm{Hom}_t^{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) = \mathrm{span}\left\{ f_\circ|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} : f \in \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) \right\}.$$

Invariant theory tells us, cf. [51, Theorem 7.1], that the ring of orthogonally invariant polynomials on $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$ is generated by polynomials of the form

$$\mathrm{Tr}(X^\ell), \qquad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}, \qquad \ell \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since for any $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ the orthogonally invariant polynomial $f_\circ$ is also homogeneous of degree t we arrive at the expansion

$$f_\circ = \sum_{\substack{\ell_1+\cdots+\ell_t=t,\\ 0\leq\ell_1\leq\cdots\leq\ell_t\leq t}} f_{\ell_1,\dots,\ell_t} \prod_{i=1}^{t} \mathrm{Tr}(\cdot^{\ell_i}).$$

Restricting $f_\circ$ to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ yields

$$f_\circ|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} = \sum_{\substack{\ell_1+\cdots+\ell_t=t,\\ 0\leq\ell_1\leq\cdots\leq\ell_t\leq t}} f_{\ell_1,\dots,\ell_t}\, \mathrm{Tr}(\cdot)^{|\{i\in\{1,\dots,t\}\,\mid\, l_i\neq 0\}|}|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}.$$

Note that the exponent in the above expansion cannot vanish as long as $t \neq 0$. It follows that, for $t \geq 1$, any function $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_t^{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ can be written as a linear combination of the functions $\mathrm{Tr}(\cdot)^s|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$, $s = 1,\dots,t$. By (i) we even know that these functions are contained in $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$, so that we infer

$$\mathrm{Hom}_t^{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) = \begin{cases} \mathrm{span}\{1|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}\}, & t = 0, \\ \mathrm{span}\{\mathrm{Tr}(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}, \dots, \mathrm{Tr}(\cdot)^t|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}\}, & t \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now (15) follows by checking that for $t \geq 1$ the functions $1_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)^i|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$, $i = 1, \ldots, t$, are linearly independent if and only if $t \leq |\mathcal{K}| - 1$. However, this fact is easily deduced from the invertibility of the Vandermonde matrix. □

Theorem 4.2 yields a particularly simply reproducing kernel for $\operatorname{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$.

Theorem 4.3. *For $t \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ with a constant $C \geq 0$, the kernel*

$$K_t : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad K_t(P, Q) := \langle P, Q \rangle^t + C, \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d},$$

is a reproducing kernel for $\operatorname{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ if $C = 0$ and for $\operatorname{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ if $C > 0$.

Proof. For $C = 0$, the kernel K_t is a reproducing kernel for $\operatorname{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ by Theorem A.1 and (11), which proves the first assertion.

For $C > 0$, the kernel K_t is the sum of two positive definite kernels, and thus also positive definite. Moreover, from the theory of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces is it known that a space, which is generated linearly by the sum of positive definite kernels, is equal to the sum of the corresponding function spaces. Hence, together with Theorem 4.2 we arrive at the second assertion. □

In what follows we decompose $\operatorname{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ further into irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ of the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$. For that reason it is convenient to start with the space of square-integrable functions

$$L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) := \big\{ f : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{C} \ : \ \int_{\mathcal{G}_k} |f(P)|^2 \mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,d}(P) < \infty; \ k \in \mathcal{K} \big\}.$$

equipped with the canonical inner product

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} (f, g)_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}}, \qquad f, g \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}).$$

The decomposition (1) yields

$$L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) = \bigoplus_{l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K})} H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), \qquad H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \cong \mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K}) \mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}, \tag{16}$$

with

$$r(\mathcal{K}) := \max_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \{\min\{k, d-k\}\}$$

and multiplicities $\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K})$ given by

$$\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K}) := |\{k \in \mathcal{K} \ : \ l(\pi) \leq k \leq d - l(\pi)\}|. \tag{17}$$

Together with the decomposition of $\operatorname{Pol}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ and Theorem 4.2 we arrive at an upper bound on the multiplicities of the irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ of the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$ in $\operatorname{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$.

Lemma 4.4. *For $t \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ the space $\operatorname{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ decomposes as*

$$\operatorname{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\pi| \leq t, \\ l(\pi) \leq r(\pi)}} H^t_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), \qquad H^t_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \cong \mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K}, t) \mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}, \tag{18}$$

and the multiplicities satisfy

$$\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K}, t) \begin{cases} = \min\{t+1, |\mathcal{K}|\}, & 0 = |\pi|, \\ \leq \min\big\{\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K}), \nu^d_\pi(t)\big\}, & 0 < |\pi| < t, \\ = \min\big\{\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K}), 1\big\}, & |\pi| = t, \end{cases}$$

where the multiplicity of $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ *in* $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$*, see* (14)*, is denoted by*

$$\nu^d_\pi(t) := \sum_{t=|\pi'|} \nu^d_{2\pi',2\pi}, \qquad 0<|\pi|<t. \tag{19}$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \subset L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ we infer from (16) that only irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ with $l(\pi)\le r(\pi)$ can occur and that $\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K},t)\le \mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K})$.

For $\pi=0$ we note that the subspace of orthogonally invariant functions in $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ denoted $\mathrm{Pol}_t^{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ contains exactly the trivial representations $\mathcal{H}^d_0$. Hence, $\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t^{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})) = \mu^d_0(\mathcal{K},t)$. From the proof of Theorem 4.2 we deduce that functions $1|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}, \mathrm{Tr}(\cdot)|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}, \dots, \mathrm{Tr}(\cdot)^t|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$ form a basis of $\mathrm{Pol}_t^{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ whenever $t+1\le|\mathcal{K}|$. Together with $\mu^d_0(\mathcal{K})=|\mathcal{K}|$ we find $\mu^d_0(\mathcal{K},t)=\min\{t+1,|\mathcal{K}|\}$.

For $0<|\pi|$, it remains to show that

$$\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K},t)\begin{cases}\le \sum_{|\pi'|=t}\nu^d_{2\pi',2\pi}, & |\pi|\le t,\\ =0, & |\pi|>t.\end{cases}$$

By Theorem 4.2 we know that, for $|\pi|>0$, the multiplicities of the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ and $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ are equal. Therefore, we consider the restriction mapping

$$R:\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})\to\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), \qquad f\mapsto f|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}.$$

Obviously, R is linear and commutes with the group action of the orthogonal group $\mathcal{O}(d)$, i.e.,

$$R(f\circ\tau_O)=(Rf)\circ\tau_O, \qquad O\in\mathcal{O}(d).$$

In other words, R is an intertwining operator between the representation spaces $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ of $\mathcal{O}(d)$. Since the image of an irreducible representation of an intertwining operator is also irreducible, we have by Schur's Lemma that the restriction mapping R maps an irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}$ to an equivalent irreducible representation or to zero. It follows that the multiplicities of the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ is bounded by the multiplicities $\nu^d_\pi(t)$ of the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$. Together with the decomposition

$$\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{|\pi|=t,\\ l(\pi)\le d}} F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{|\pi|=t,\\ l(\pi)\le d}} \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi'\le 2\pi,\\ \overline{\pi}_1+\overline{\pi}_2\le d}} \nu_{2\pi,\pi'}\mathcal{H}^d_{\pi'},$$

cf. (12) and (14), the multiplicity $\nu^d_\pi(t)$ can be computed by (19). In particular, only representations $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ with $|\pi|\le t$ can occur.

Moreover, for $t=|\pi|$, we know from the branching rule that $\nu^d_\pi(t)=1$ for any partition π with $l(\pi)\le\frac{d}{2}$. Since $\mu^d_\pi(\{k\},t)=1$ for any $k\in\mathcal{K}$ with $l(\pi)\le\min\{k,d-k\}$, cf. (1), we deduce by the above arguments that the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ occurs in $H^t_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$, see (18), whenever $\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K})\neq 0$. In other words we have $\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K},t)=\min\{\mu^d_\pi(\mathcal{K}),1\}$, which concludes the proof. □

For a given index set $\mathcal{K}\subset\{1,\dots,d-1\}$ with sufficiently small $|\mathcal{K}|$ one can use Lemma 4.4 to check that the lower bound in Theorem 2.2 is indeed matched. In the following Example 4.5 we shall illustrate this observation and its limitations.

Example 4.5. According to (12), we know that $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$ decomposes into irreducible representations $\mathcal{F}^d_{2\pi}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(d)$ with $|\pi|=t$. Applying the branching

rules to decompose $\mathcal{F}_{2\pi}^d$ into irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}_{\pi'}^d$ of $\mathcal{O}(d)$, cf. (14), we arrive at the decomposition of $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d})$ for $t=1,2$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_1(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d}) \cong \mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d, \qquad d \geq 2,$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_2(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d}) \cong \begin{cases} 2\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(4)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(2,2)}^d, & d \geq 4, \\ 2\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(4)}^d, & d = 3, \\ 2\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus \ \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(4)}^d, & d = 2, \end{cases}$$

Now, using Lemma 4.4 we infer together with the known decomposition (3) on single Grassmannians that the lower bound in Theorem 2.2 is indeed matched for $t=1,2$ and any index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1,\dots,d-1\}$.

Example 4.6. For $t=3$, branching rules yield that $\mathrm{Hom}_3(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d})$ is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} 3\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus 4\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(4)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(2,2)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(3,1)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(6)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(4,2)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(2,2,2)}^d, & d \geq 6, \\ 3\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus 4\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(4)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(2,2)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(3,1)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(6)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(4,2)}^d, & d = 5, \\ 3\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus 4\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(4)}^d \oplus \ \mathcal{H}_{(2,2)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(3,1)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(6)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(4,2)}^d, & d = 4, \\ 3\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus 3\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(4)}^d \qquad\quad \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(3,1)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(6)}^d, & d = 3, \\ 2\mathcal{H}_{(0)}^d \oplus 2\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d \oplus \ \mathcal{H}_{(4)}^d \qquad\quad\ \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(6)}^d, & d = 2. \end{cases}$$

Functions in the subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}_3(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d})$ that is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}_{3,1}^d$ must vanish identically if restricted to any Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$, $k \in \{1,\dots,d-1\}$, cf. (1). The mapping

$$\begin{aligned} K(X,Y) =& \frac{1}{d+2}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}(X^2Y^2)\operatorname{Tr}(XY) - \operatorname{Tr}(X^2Y)\operatorname{Tr}(XY^2)\Big) \\ &- \frac{1}{(3d+4)(d+2)}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}(X^2Y^2)\operatorname{Tr}(X)\operatorname{Tr}(Y) - \operatorname{Tr}(X^2Y)\operatorname{Tr}(X)\operatorname{Tr}(Y^2) \\ &\qquad - \operatorname{Tr}(XY^2)\operatorname{Tr}(X^2)\operatorname{Tr}(Y) + \operatorname{Tr}(XY)\operatorname{Tr}(X^2)\operatorname{Tr}(Y^2)\Big) \end{aligned}$$

is the reproducing kernel with respect to the inner product (9) for a subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}_3(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d})$ that is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}_{(3,1)}^d \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d$, $d>2$, and $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d$, $d=2$, respectively. Indeed, K vanishes on any Grassmannian, i.e., $K(X,Y)=0$, for all $Y \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}$, $k \in \{1,\dots,d-1\}$ and $X \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d}$. Hence, we infer that the multiplicity of $\mathcal{H}_{(2)}^d$ in $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ is at most $\nu_{(1)}^d(3)-1$, cf. (19). Therefore, we can conclude that the lower bound in Theorem 2.2 is also matched for $t=3$ and any index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1,\dots,d-1\}$.

In view of Examples 4.5 and 4.6 our task remains to compute the exact multiplicities $\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K},t)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ in the decomposition (18) of $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. For that reason we shall characterize the orthogonal invariant subspaces of $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ by its reproducing kernels. Expanding such kernels in a suitable Fourier basis we can compute the multiplicities of the irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ after establishing a convolution theorem.

5. Zonal kernels and harmonic analysis on unions of Grassmannians

5.1. **Zonal kernels.** Let $H(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \subset L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ be an orthogonally invariant subspace of continuous functions. Then one can deduce that the reproducing kernel $K : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{C}$ associated to $H(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ must be zonal, i.e.,

$$K(\tau_O(P),\tau_O(Q)) = K(P,Q), \qquad P,Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}, \qquad O \in \mathcal{O}(d).$$

Conversely, if a positive definite kernel K is zonal it can be checked that $\mathcal{S}(K) \subset L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ is orthogonally invariant. Hence, the positive definite zonal kernels determine precisely the orthogonally invariant reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of continuous functions.

In what follows it is convenient, to define the space of square-integrable zonal kernels

$$L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) := \{K : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{C} \; : \\ K(\tau_O(P), \tau_O(Q)) = K(P,Q), \quad P,Q \in G_{\mathcal{K},d}, \quad O \in \mathcal{O}(d), \\ (K,K)_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} < \infty, \quad k,\ell \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

equipped with the canonical inner product

$$(K,L)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} := \sum_{k,\ell\in\mathcal{K}} (K,L)_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}},$$

$$(K,G)_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d},\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} K(P,Q)G(P,Q)\mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,d}(P)\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\ell,d}(Q),$$

for $K, L \in L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. In the subsequent sections, we shall construct a particularly convenient basis.

5.2. **Generalized Jacobi Polynomials.** James and Constantine introduced in [40] the generalized Jacobi polynomials, which similarly to the zonal polynomials form a basis of the orthogonally invariant polynomials on the space of symmetric matrices. Note that such polynomials are uniquely determined by their function values at diagonal matrices. Hence, such polynomials can be also described in terms of symmetric functions.

Here, we use the equivalent notion of generalized Jacobi polynomials $J^{\alpha,\beta}_\pi : [0,1]^m \to \mathbb{R}$, indexed by partitions π with $l(\pi) \leq m$, as symmetric polynomials in m variables, see also [20, 26]. The generalized Jacobi polynomials $J^{\alpha,\beta}_\pi$ form a complete orthogonal system of symmetric polynomials of degree $|\pi|$ with respect to the density

(20)

$$w_{\alpha,\beta}(y_1,\ldots,y_m) := \prod_{i=1}^m \left(y_i^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}(m+1)}(1-y_i)^{\beta-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}(m+1)}\right) \prod_{j=i+1}^m |y_i - y_j|, \quad 0 < y_i < 1,$$

with parameters $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\frac{1}{2}(m-1) < \alpha < \beta - \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$. From [40, 26] it is known that the generalized Jacobi polynomials can be expanded in terms of zonal polynomials, cf. Appendix B, for $l(\pi) \leq m$,

(21)

$$J^{\alpha,\beta}_\pi(y_1,\ldots,y_m) = \sum_{\pi'\leq\pi} c^\beta_{\pi,\pi'} \frac{(\alpha)_\pi \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_\pi}{(\alpha)_{\pi'}\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)_{\pi'}} C_{\pi'}(\mathrm{diag}(y_1,\ldots,y_m)), \quad y_1,\ldots,y_m \in [0,1],$$

where we agree on the normalization $c^\beta_{\pi,\pi} = 1$ and where $(\alpha)_\pi$ denotes the generalized hypergeometric coefficient, cf. (88). See also [20] for the expansions into zonal polynomials with two matrix arguments. For later reference, one can deduce by the symmetry of the density $w_{\alpha,\beta}$ the relation

$$(22)\quad J^{\alpha,\beta}_\pi(y_1,\ldots,y_m) = (-1)^{|\pi|} J^{\beta-\alpha,\beta}_\pi(1-y_1,\ldots,1-y_m), \qquad y_1,\ldots,y_m \in [0,1].$$

For the special parameters $\alpha = \frac{k}{2}$ with $k \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{d}{2}$, the generalized Jacobi polynomials in k variables can be identified with the reproducing kernels of

$H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}) \subset L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$. More, generally the Jacobi polynomials are identified in [40] with so-called intertwining functions, which can be seen as the building blocks of zonal kernel in $L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ and which play a particular important role for our further analysis.

5.3. **Intertwining functions.** The following section is devoted to the derivation of special intertwining functions. We shall extend some arguments given in [40] to find a particular useful normalization of these functions, cf. Theorem 5.2, which allows us to state a convolution theorem for continuous zonal kernels in $L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. Similarly to [40] we consider linear operators

$$T_\pi^{k,\ell} : H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}) \to H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}),$$

which intertwine the equivalent representations $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$ and $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ of $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$ and $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ respectively, i.e,

$$T_\pi^{k,\ell}(f \circ \tau_O) = (T_\pi^{k,\ell} f) \circ \tau_O, \qquad f \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}), \quad O \in \mathcal{O}(d).$$

We restrict us to partitions π with $l(\pi) \leq \min\{k, d-k, \ell, d-\ell\}$ since otherwise the representation $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ does not occur in one of the spaces $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ or $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$, cf. (1). Due to Schur's Lemma the intertwining operators $T_\pi^{k,\ell}$ from $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$ to $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ are uniquely determined up to scalar multiplication with a complex number. One can also check that the adjoint operator $(T_\pi^{k,\ell})^* : H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}) \to H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$ defined by the relation

$$(T_\pi^{k,\ell} f_\ell, f_k)_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} = (f_\ell, (T_\pi^{k,\ell})^* f_k)_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}}, \qquad f_\ell \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}), \quad f_k \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d}),$$

is an intertwining operator. Moreover, we will restrict our attention to intertwining operators, which commute with complex conjugation

$$\overline{T_\pi^{k,\ell} f} = T_\pi^{k,\ell} \overline{f}, \qquad f \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}),$$

and we call them real intertwining operators. One can check that the inverse, the adjoint, and the composition of real intertwining operators are again real intertwining operators. Moreover, Schur's Lemma asserts that real intertwining operators differ only by multiplication with a real number.

For instance, it can be easily seen that the mapping $f \mapsto f(I - \cdot)$ intertwines the spaces $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{d-k,d})$ and $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$. Hence, the operators $T_\pi^{k,d-k} : H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{d-k,d}) \to H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ given by

$$(T_\pi^{k,d-k} f)(P) := f(I - P), \qquad f \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{d-k,d}), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}, \tag{23}$$

are well defined and it is straightforward to check that these are real intertwining operators, which are isometric isomorph.

Note that the condition $l(\pi) \leq \min\{k, d-k\}$ required on $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ in (3) is equivalent to $l(\pi) \leq d/2$ and $k \in \{l(\pi), \ldots, d - l(\pi)\}$.

Theorem 5.1. *For any partition π with $l(\pi) \leq d/2$, there exist real intertwining operators $T_\pi^{k,\ell} : H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}) \to H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ with $k, \ell \in \{l(\pi), \ldots, d - l(\pi)\}$, which are isometric isomorph*

$$(T_\pi^{k,\ell})^* T_\pi^{k,\ell} = \mathrm{Id}_{H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})}, \qquad T_\pi^{k,\ell} (T_\pi^{k,\ell})^* = \mathrm{Id}_{H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})}, \tag{24}$$

and satisfy the relations

$$T_\pi^{k,m} T_\pi^{m,\ell} = T_\pi^{k,\ell}, \qquad m \in \{l(\pi), \ldots, \mathrm{d} - l(\pi)\}. \tag{25}$$

In particular, this implies $T_\pi^{k,k} = \mathrm{Id}_{H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})}$ and $T_\pi^{k,\ell} = (T_\pi^{\ell,k})^{-1} = (T_\pi^{\ell,k})^*$.

Proof. Let us fix an index s with $l(\pi) \leq s \leq d - l(\pi)$ and suppose that $\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s}$, $k \in \{l(\pi), \dots, l(\pi)\}$, are operators, which intertwine the spaces $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{s,d})$ and $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$. Without loss of generality these can be chosen as real intertwining operators since the maps

$$f \mapsto \tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s} f + \overline{\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s}\overline{f}}, \quad f \mapsto i(\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s} f - \overline{\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s}\overline{f}}), \qquad f \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{s,d}),$$

define real intertwining operators, which cannot simultaneously vanish identically. Moreover, due to Schur's Lemma the real self-adjoint intertwining operator

$$(\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s})^* \tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s}$$

must be a nonnegative real valued multiple of the identity operator. Hence, we can also assume that the real intertwining operators $\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s}$ are isometric isomorphisms. In that case the operators $\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s}$ satisfy already (24).

We now define

$$T_\pi^{k,\ell} := \tilde{T}_\pi^{k,s} (\tilde{T}_\pi^{\ell,s})^*, \qquad l(\pi) \leq k, \ell \leq d - l(\pi).$$

By straightforward calculations we deduce (24) and (25). □

Real intertwining operators $\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,\ell} : H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}) \to H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ are realized in [40] by the integral transform

$$\tilde{T}_\pi^{k,\ell} f(P) := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} \tilde{p}_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) f(Q) \mathrm{d}\sigma_{\ell,d}(Q), \qquad f \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}), \quad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d},$$

with zonal integral kernels $\tilde{p}_\pi^{k,\ell} : \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d} \to \mathbb{R}$ which, are uniquely determined by the requirement

$$\tilde{p}_\pi^{k,\ell}(P, \cdot) \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}.$$

The zonal kernels $\tilde{p}_\pi^{k,\ell}$ are called *intertwining functions*.

Any real intertwining operator can be realized by an integral transform with a uniquely determined real-valued intertwining function. Hence, we can associate to a given real intertwining operator $T_\pi^{k,\ell} : H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}) \to H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ its uniquely determined intertwining function $p_\pi^{k,\ell} : \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d} \to \mathbb{R}$, which satisfies

$$p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} (T_\pi^{k,\ell} \psi_i)(P) \overline{\psi_i(Q)}, \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}, \quad Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}, \tag{26}$$

for any orthonormal basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^M$ of $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$.

The following Theorem 5.2 is a reformulation of Theorem 5.1 in terms of the associated intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$.

Theorem 5.2. *For any partition π with $l(\pi) \leq d/2$ and $k, \ell \in \{l(\pi), \dots, d - l(\pi)\}$ the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell} : \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times G_{\ell,d} \to \mathbb{R}$ can be normalized to*

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} |p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q)|^2 \mathrm{d}\sigma_{\ell,d}(Q) \mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,d}(P) = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d), \tag{27}$$

such that, for any $m \in \{l(\pi), \dots, d - l(\pi)\}$,

$$\big(p_\pi^{k,m}(P, \cdot), p_\pi^{m,\ell}(\cdot, Q)\big)_{\mathcal{G}_{m,d}} = p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d},\ Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}. \tag{28}$$

In particular, these functions obey the following symmetries

$$p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) = p_\pi^{\ell,k}(Q,P), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d},\ Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}, \tag{29}$$

$$p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) = \varepsilon_k p_\pi^{d-k,\ell}(I - P, Q), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d},\ Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}, \quad \varepsilon_k \in \{\pm 1\}, \tag{30}$$

where the constant ε_k does only depend on k. Moreover, $p_\pi^{k,k}$ is the reproducing kernel for $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ with respect to the $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ inner product.

Remark 5.3. Let $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$ be intertwining functions given as in Theorem 5.2. Fix an index $m \in \{l(\pi), \dots d - l(\pi)\}$ and set

$$\tilde{p}_\pi^{k,\ell} := (-1)^{\delta_{k,m}}(-1)^{\delta_{\ell,m}} p_\pi^{k,\ell}, \qquad k, \ell \in \{l(\pi), \dots d - l(\pi)\}.$$

Then, the intertwining functions $\tilde{p}_\pi^{k,\ell}$ also satisfy the properties of Theorem 5.2. In other words, any intertwining functions which satisfy the properties of Theorem 5.2 have the specified ambiguity.

Proof. Let $T_\pi^{k,\ell}$ be real intertwining operators according to Theorem 5.1. Since by (24) the operators $T_\pi^{k,\ell}$ are isometric isomorphisms, we have that for any orthonormal basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^M$ of $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$, the system $\{T_\pi^{k,\ell}\psi_i\}_{i=1}^M$ is an orthonormal basis of $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$. Together with the associated intertwining function $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$ given by (26) the equation (27) follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} \big(p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,\cdot), p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,\cdot)\big)_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} \mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,d}(P) \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^M (T_\pi^{k,\ell}\psi_i)(P)\overline{\psi_i}, \sum_{j=1}^M (T_\pi^{k,\ell}\psi_j)(P)\overline{\psi_j} \Big)_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} \mathrm{d}\sigma_{k,d}(P) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^M \big(T_\pi^{k,\ell}\psi_i, T_\pi^{k,\ell}\psi_i\big)_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} = M = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the associated intertwining function $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$ of $T_\pi^{k,\ell}$ is uniquely determined. Hence, the relations (28) follow immediately from the relations (25) in Theorem 5.1. Furthermore the first symmetry relation in (29) follows from $T_\pi^{k,\ell} = (T_\pi^{\ell,k})^*$, and the second from the relation $T_\pi^{k,\ell} = T_\pi^{k,d-k} T_\pi^{d-k,\ell}$, where we use the observation that $T_\pi^{k,d-k}$ is uniquely determined by (23), up to multiplication with ± 1. □

We suppose throughout the remaining part of the present manuscript, that the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$ are normalized according to Theorem 5.2. This particular normalization enables us to formulate a convolution theorem for continuous zonal kernels in $L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{K,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$.

5.4. **A convolution theorem.** We start this section by stating that the zero-extensions of the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$ are the building blocks of zonal kernels. For given $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$, all partitions π with $l(\pi) \le r(\mathcal{K})$ and $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K} \cap \{l(\pi), \dots, d - l(\pi)\}$, we define the zonal kernels

$$P_\pi^{k,\ell} : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad P_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) := \begin{cases} p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q), & P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

Theorem 5.4. *For an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the collection of zonal kernels $P_\pi^{k,\ell}$ specified in* (31) *forms an orthogonal basis for $L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$.*

Proof. By definition (31) of the zonal kernels $P_\pi^{k,\ell}$ it is sufficient to show that the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$, with $l(\pi) \le \min\{k, d-k, \ell, d-\ell\}$, form an orthogonal basis of the space of zonal kernels restricted to $\mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$ for any $k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$. By the symmetry relations (29) and (30) in Theorem 5.2 it is sufficient to consider the case $1 \le k \le \ell \le \frac{d}{2}$.

It is known from [40] that for any fixed $1 \leq k \leq \ell \leq \frac{d}{2}$ the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell} : \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d} \to \mathbb{R}$, $l(\pi) \leq k$, can be expressed in terms of generalized Jacobi polynomials $J_\pi^{\alpha,\beta} : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$ in k variables with parameters $\alpha = \frac{\ell}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{d}{2}$ due to

$$p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) = b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} J_\pi^{\frac{\ell}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(y_1(PQ),\dots,y_k(PQ)), \qquad (P,Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}, \tag{32}$$

where $b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is some normalization factor and $y_1(PQ) \geq \cdots \geq y_d(PQ)$ are the eigenvalues of PQ, counted with multiplicities. Conversely, for any zonal kernel $K \in L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ its restriction $K|_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}}$ is characterized by a symmetric function in the values $y_1(PQ),\dots,y_k(PQ)$, $(PQ) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$, see [40]. Moreover, the density $w_{\alpha,\beta}$, $\alpha = \frac{\ell}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{d}{2}$, given by (20), corresponds to the measure $\sigma_k \times \sigma_\ell$ on $\mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$. Since the generalized Jacobi polynomials form an orthogonal basis with respect to the density $w_{\alpha,\beta}$ the assertions follows. □

By Theorem 5.4 any zonal kernel $K \in L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ can be expanded into a Fourier series, i.e.,

$$K = \sum_{l(\pi)\leq r(\mathcal{K})} \sum_{\substack{k,\ell\in\mathcal{K},\\ l(\pi)\leq k,\ell\leq d-l(\pi)}} \hat{K}_\pi^{k,\ell} P_\pi^{k,\ell} = \sum_{l(\pi)\leq r(\mathcal{K})} \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{K}_\pi^\top P_\pi),$$

where the Fourier coefficients

$$\hat{K}_\pi^{k,\ell} = \dim(\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi})^{-1}\big(K, P_\pi^{k,\ell}\big)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} = \dim(\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi})^{-1}\big(K, p_\pi^{k,\ell}\big)_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}}$$

and the basis functions $P_\pi^{k,\ell}$ are conveniently arranged in matrix form

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{K}_\pi &:= \big(\hat{K}_\pi^{k,\ell}\big)_{\substack{k,\ell\in\mathcal{K}\\ l(\pi)\leq k,\ell\leq d-l(\pi)}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\times\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})},\\
P_\pi(P,Q) &:= \big(P_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q)\big)_{\substack{k,\ell\in\mathcal{K}\\ l(\pi)\leq k,\ell\leq d-l(\pi)}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\times\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})}, \quad P,Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the size of the matrices is determined by the multiplicities $\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})$ given in (17) and that the notation P_π neglects the dependency on $\mathcal{K}$ and d.

Convolving two continuous kernels $K, L \in L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$,

$$(K * L)(P,Q) := \big(K(P,\cdot), \overline{L(\cdot,Q)}\big)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} K(P,R)L(R,Q)d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(R),$$

yields again a continuous kernel $K * L$. Together with Theorem 5.2 we arrive at the following convolution theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *For an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1,\dots,d-1\}$ and for two continuous kernels $K, L \in L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$, the kernel $M = K * L$ is continuous and has the Fourier coefficients $\hat{M}_\pi = \hat{K}_\pi \hat{L}_\pi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\times\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})}$, $l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K})$.*

Proof. By linearity of the inner product we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(K * L)(P,Q) &= \big(K(P,\cdot), \overline{L(\cdot,Q)}\big)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}\\
&= \sum_{\substack{l(\pi)\leq r(\mathcal{K}),\ k,\ell\in\mathcal{K},\\ l(\pi)\leq k,\ell\leq d-l(\pi)}} \sum_{\substack{l(\pi')\leq r(\mathcal{K}),\ k',\ell'\in\mathcal{K},\\ l(\pi')\leq k',\ell'\leq d-l(\pi')}} \hat{K}_\pi^{k,\ell}\hat{L}_{\pi'}^{k',\ell'}\big(P_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,\cdot), P_{\pi'}^{k',\ell'}(\cdot,Q)\big)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $l(\pi) \leq k, \ell, \leq d - l(\pi)$ and $l(\pi') \leq k', \ell', \leq d - l(\pi')$ with $P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$, we deduce by definition (31) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} P_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,R) P_{\pi'}^{k',\ell'}(R,Q) d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(R) &= \delta_{\ell,k'} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} P_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,R) P_{\pi'}^{\ell,\ell'}(R,Q) d\sigma_{\ell,d}(R) \\ &= \delta_{\ell,k'} \delta_{\pi,\pi'} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} P_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,R) P_\pi^{\ell,\ell'}(R,Q) d\sigma_{\ell,d}(R) \\ &= \delta_{\ell,k'} \delta_{\pi,\pi'} P_\pi^{k,\ell'}(P,Q),\end{aligned}$$

where the last two equalities are due to the orthogonality of the spaces $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$, $H_{\pi'}(\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d})$, $\pi \neq \pi'$, and due to Theorem 5.2. Hence, for $P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$, we arrive at

$$(K * L)(P,Q) = \sum_{\substack{l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K}),\ k,\ell' \in \mathcal{K}, \\ l(\pi) \leq k, \ell' \leq d - l(\pi)}} \Big(\sum_{l(\pi) \leq \ell \leq d - l(\pi)} \hat{K}_\pi^{k,\ell} \hat{L}_\pi^{\ell,\ell'} \Big) P_\pi^{k,\ell'}(P,Q),$$

which concludes the proof. □

5.5. **Irreducible decomposition of orthogonal invariant subspaces.** Given a positive definite zonal kernel $K : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{C}$, the convolution theorem enables us to compute the decomposition of the corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{S}(K)$ into its irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$. This result is presented in Theorem 5.8, after two preparatory Lemmas.

Lemma 5.6. *For an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$, the zonal kernel*

$$K_\pi(P,Q) := \operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi(P,Q)), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}, \qquad l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K}),$$

is the reproducing kernel of $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to the $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ inner product.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2 we have for any partition π with $l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K})$ that

$$\begin{aligned}H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) &= \operatorname{span}\{P_\pi^{k,k}(P,\cdot) \mid P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d},\ k \in \mathcal{K}\} \\ &= \operatorname{span}\{\operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi(P,\cdot)) \mid P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}\}.\end{aligned}$$

That is $\operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi(P,\cdot))$ linearly generates $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. Due to the convolution Theorem 5.5, we obtain, for any fixed $P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi(P,\cdot)), \operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi(Q,\cdot)) \big)_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} &= (\operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi) * \operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi))(P,Q) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi(P,Q)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Tr}(P_\pi(P,\cdot))$ is indeed the reproducing kernel of $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to the $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ inner product. □

Lemma 5.7. *For an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ and for any $X \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}) \times \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})}$, it holds*

$$\operatorname{Tr}(X P_\pi(P,\cdot)) \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}, \qquad l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K}).$$

Proof. From Mercer's Theorem we know that a reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{S}(K)$ with reproducing kernel $K : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{R}$ contains all eigenfunctions with non-zero eigenvalues of the integral operator $T_K : L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \to L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ defined by

$$T_K f(P) := (f, K(P,\cdot))_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}, \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}, \qquad f \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}).$$

Setting $K := \mathrm{Tr}(P_\pi)$ we find by the convolution Theorem 5.5 that, for any $X \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\times\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})}$, it holds

$$T_K \,\mathrm{Tr}(XP_\pi(P,\cdot)) = \mathrm{Tr}(XP_\pi(P,\cdot)), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}.$$

Hence, for $X \neq 0$ the function $\mathrm{Tr}(XP_\pi(P,\cdot)) \not\equiv 0$ is an eigenfunction with non-zero eigenvalue of T_K and thus is an element of $\mathcal{S}(K) = H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. □

It is not hard to see that for a positive definite zonal kernel $K \in L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$, its Fourier coefficients $\hat{K}_\pi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\times\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})}$ are positive semidefinite and thus allow for a spectral decomposition

$$\hat{K}_\pi = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})} \lambda_\pi^i \hat{K}_\pi^i, \qquad l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K}), \tag{33}$$

where $\lambda_\pi^1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\pi^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})} \geq 0$ and $\hat{K}_\pi^i \in \mathbb{C}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\times\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})}$ are orthogonal rank-1 projectors corresponding to an eigenbasis of $\hat{K}_\pi$. The corresponding kernels

$$K_\pi^i := \mathrm{Tr}(\hat{K}_\pi^{i\top} P_\pi), \quad i = 1, \ldots, \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}), \tag{34}$$

are also positive definite.

Theorem 5.8. *For an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$, let $K : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{C}$ be zonal and positive definite. Under the notations* (33), (34), *the orthogonal decomposition*

$$\mathcal{S}(K) = \bigoplus_{l(\pi)\leq r(\mathcal{K})} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mathrm{rank}(\hat{K}_\pi)} \mathcal{S}(K_\pi^i). \tag{35}$$

holds, where

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d \cong \mathcal{S}(K_\pi^i) \subset H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), \qquad i = 1, \ldots, \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}), \tag{36}$$

Proof. From Mercer's Theorem it follows that the space $\mathcal{S}(K)$ decomposes into the pairwise orthogonal eigenspaces with non-zero eigenvalues associated to the integral operator $T_K : L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \to L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ defined by

$$T_K f(P) := (f, K(P,\cdot))_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}, \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}, \qquad f \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}).$$

This decomposition amounts to the eigenspace decomposition of T_K in the subspace $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. More precisely, we find by the convolution Theorem 5.5 that the kernels K_π^i satisfy

$$(T_K K_\pi^i(P,\cdot))(Q) = \lambda_\pi^i K_\pi^i(P,Q),$$
$$(K_\pi^i(P,\cdot), K_\pi^j(Q,\cdot))_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} = \delta_{i,j} K_\pi^i(P,Q),$$

for $P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ and $i, j = 1, \ldots, \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})$. That is, the kernels K_π^i are the reproducing kernels for the pairwise orthogonal spaces $\mathcal{S}(K_\pi^i)$ with respect to the standard inner product. From Lemma 5.7 we infer $K_\pi^i(P,\cdot) \in H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ and thus

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})} \mathcal{S}(K_\pi^i) \subset H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \cong \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d, \qquad |\pi| \geq 0.$$

Since the spaces $\mathcal{S}(K_\pi^i) \neq \{0\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})$, are orthogonally invariant and pairwise orthogonal we arrive at (36) and (35). □

Theorem 5.8 enables us to compute, in principle, the multiplicities of the irreducible representations $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ in a given orthogonally invariant reproducing kernel Hilbert space.

Corollary 5.9. *Let an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and a positive definite zonal kernel $K : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{C}$ be given. Then the rank of the Fourier coefficient $\hat{K}_\pi$, $l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K})$, is the multiplicity of $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ in $\mathcal{S}(K)$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of (36) and (35) in Theorem 5.8. □

Before we proceed, let us consider some symmetry relations on the space of all Grassmannians $\mathcal{G}_d := \mathcal{G}_{\{1,\dots,d-1\},d}$. For later reference we define the sets of even and odd functions by

$$S_+ := \{f \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_d) \mid f = f(I - \cdot)\}, \qquad S_- := \{f \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_d) \mid f = -f(I - \cdot)\},$$

respectively. The even and odd parts of a function $f \in L^2(\mathcal{G}_d)$ are determined by

$$f_+(P) := \frac{f(P) + f(I-P)}{2}, \qquad f_-(P) := \frac{f(P) - f(I-P)}{2}, \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{,d}.$$

implying the decomposition

$$L^2(\mathcal{G}_d) = S_+ \oplus S_-, \tag{37}$$

which is indeed orthogonal. Similarly, positive definite zonal kernels K_+ and K_- are called even and odd if they satisfy

$$K_+(P,Q) = K_+(I-P,Q), \quad K_-(P,Q) = -K_-(I-P,Q), \qquad P,Q \in \mathcal{G}_d,$$

respectively. The corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{S}(K_\pm)$ contain only odd and even functions, respectively, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}(K_+) \cap S_- = \{0\} = \mathcal{S}(K_-) \cap S_+.$$

Theorem 5.10. *Let $K : \mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d \to \mathbb{C}$ be a positive definite zonal kernel satisfying*

$$K(P,Q) = K(I-P, I-Q), \qquad P,Q \in \mathcal{G}_d. \tag{38}$$

Then it decomposes uniquely as $K = K_+ + K_-$ with an even and odd part

$$K_+(P,Q) := \frac{K(P,Q) + K(I-P,Q)}{2}, \quad K_-(P,Q) := \frac{K(P,Q) - K(I-P,Q)}{2},$$

respectively. Moreover, it holds

$$\mathcal{S}(K) = \mathcal{S}(K_+) \oplus \mathcal{S}(K_-), \quad \mathcal{S}(K) \cap S_+ = \mathcal{S}(K_+), \quad \mathcal{S}(K) \cap S_- = \mathcal{S}(K_-). \tag{39}$$

Proof. By the condition (38) we conclude that $K_\pm$ is indeed symmetric

$$K_\pm(Q,P) = \frac{K(Q,P) \pm K(I-Q,P)}{2} = \frac{K(Q,P) \pm K(Q,I-P)}{2} = \overline{K_\pm(P,Q)}.$$

In order to show positive definiteness of $K_\pm$ let $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $P_i \in \mathcal{G}_d$, $i = 1, \dots, M$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, be given. Then, after setting $\tilde{c}_i := c_i$, $\tilde{c}_{M+i} := \pm c_i$, $\tilde{P}_i := P_i$, $\tilde{P}_{M+i} := I - P_i$,

$i = 1, \dots, M$, it follows from the positive definiteness of K that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j=1}^{M} c_i \overline{c_j} K_{\pm}(P_i, P_j) =& \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{M} c_i \overline{c_j} \big(K(P_i, P_j) \pm K(I - P_i, P_j) \pm K(P_i, I - P_j) \\ &+ K(I - P_i, I - P_j) \big) \\ =& \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2M} \tilde{c}_i \overline{\tilde{c}_j} K(\tilde{P}_i, \tilde{P}_j) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since the three kernels are positive definite with $K = K_+ + K_-$ we infer $\mathcal{S}(K) = \mathcal{S}(K_+ + K_-) = \mathcal{S}(K_+) + \mathcal{S}(K_-)$. Since $\mathcal{S}(K_+)$ contains only even functions and $\mathcal{S}(K_-)$ only odd functions, the decomposition (37) implies the assertion (39). □

Corollary 5.11. *For any $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ it holds*

$$\mathrm{Pol}_{2t+1}(\mathcal{G}_d) \cap S_+ \subset \mathrm{Pol}_{2t}(\mathcal{G}_d), \qquad \mathrm{Pol}_{2t+2}(\mathcal{G}_d) \cap S_- \subset \mathrm{Pol}_{2t+1}(\mathcal{G}_d). \tag{40}$$

Proof. From Theorem 4.3 we know that the kernel $(P, Q) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} + 2\langle P, Q \rangle^s$ is a reproducing kernel of $\mathrm{Pol}_s(\mathcal{G}_d)$. Hence, the kernel $(P, Q) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} + 2\langle I - P, I - Q \rangle^s$ is positive definite. Moreover, the mapping $f \mapsto f(I - \cdot)$ is an isometric isomorph intertwining operator on $\mathrm{Pol}_s(\mathcal{G}_d)$. Hence, we infer $\mathcal{S}(K^s) = \mathrm{Pol}_s(\mathcal{G}_d)$, where

$$K^s(P, Q) := 1 + 2\langle P, Q \rangle^s + 2\langle I - P, I - Q \rangle^s, \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d.$$

Now, K^s can be decomposed by Theorem 5.10 into an orthogonal sum of an even and odd positive definite kernel K_+^s and K_-^s, respectively.

For the case $s = 2t + 1$ we compute the even part

$$K_+^{2t+1}(P, Q) = 1 + \langle P, Q \rangle^{2t+1} + \langle P, I - Q \rangle^{2t+1} + \langle I - P, Q \rangle^{2t+1} + \langle I - P, I - Q \rangle^{2t+1}.$$

Using the binomial theorem we find that K_+^{2t+1} is a polynomial of degree at most $2t$ and hence by Theorem 5.10 we arrive at

$$\mathrm{Pol}_{2t+1}(\mathcal{G}_d) \cap S_+ = \mathcal{S}(K_+^{2t+1}) \subset \mathrm{Pol}_{2t}(\mathcal{G}_d).$$

The case $s = 2t + 2$ is treated similarly, which shows (40). □

6. Zonal kernels in terms of the zonal polynomials

According to Corollary 5.9, the multiplicities of $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ in $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ can be derived from the rank of the Fourier coefficients of the reproducing kernel given in Theorem 4.3. However, this appears difficult in general and we favor performing a change of basis in $L^2_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. Therefore, we need to extend again some ideas of James and Constantine [40] and combine it with our previous findings.

In [40] the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$ are related to the generalized Jacobi polynomials $J_\pi^{\frac{\ell}{2}, \frac{d}{2}}$ in k variables only for the cases $l(\pi) \leq k \leq \ell \leq \frac{d}{2}$. In order to deal with general k, ℓ, we need to define generalized Jacobi polynomials $J_\pi^{\alpha,\beta} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ beyond the range in [40], i.e., we define, for any $\beta > l(\pi) - 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$J_\pi^{\alpha,\beta}(y_1, \dots, y_m) := \sum_{\pi' \leq \pi} c_{\pi,\pi'}^{\beta} q_{\pi,\pi'}(\alpha) q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{m}{2}) C_{\pi'}(\mathrm{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_m)), \tag{41}$$

where the constants $c^{\beta}_{\pi,\pi'}$ are taken from the expansion (21) and where

$$q_{\pi,\pi'}(x) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} (x - \tfrac{1}{2}(i-1) + \pi'_i)_{\pi_i - \pi'_i} = \frac{(x)_\pi}{(x)_{\pi'}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{42}$$

is a polynomial of degree $|\pi| - |\pi'|$. Note that $\frac{(x)_\pi}{(x)_{\pi'}}$ is not always well-defined but the zeros cancel out. Due to the recursion formula in [40], the constants $c^{\beta}_{\pi,\pi'}$ in (41) depend only on π, π', β in a rational way and are well-defined as long as $\beta > l(\pi) - 1$ since one can then choose $m = l(\pi)$ and $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$ such that $\beta - \alpha > \frac{1}{2}(m-1)$, for which the original expansion (21) does actually exist.

Lemma 6.1. *For any given partition π and $\beta > l(\pi) - 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the following points hold,*

a) *for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$J^{\alpha,\beta}_{\pi}(y_1, \ldots, y_m) = (-1)^{\pi} J^{\beta-\alpha,\beta}_{\pi}(1 - y_1, \ldots, 1 - y_m), \qquad y_1, \ldots, y_m \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{43}$$

b) *for $m < l(\pi)$,*

$$J^{\alpha,\beta}_{\pi}(y_1, \ldots, y_m) = 0,$$

c) *for $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\ell > k$,*

$$J^{\frac{\ell}{2},\beta}_{\pi}(y_1, \ldots, y_k) = J^{\frac{k}{2},\beta}_{\pi}(y_1, \ldots, y_k, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{\ell-k\text{-times}}), \qquad y_1, \ldots, y_k \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{44}$$

Proof. The statement in c) follows from the definition (41) and the zero extension property of the zonal polynomials (90) due to

$$J^{\frac{\ell}{2},\beta}_{\pi}(y_1, \ldots, y_k) = \sum_{\pi' \leq \pi} c^{\beta}_{\pi,\pi'} q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{\ell}{2}) q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{k}{2}) C_{\pi'}(\operatorname{diag}(y_1, \ldots, y_k, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{\ell-k\text{-times}})).$$

Assertion b) is derived by observing

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\pi'}(\operatorname{diag}(y_1, \ldots, y_m)) &= 0, \qquad 1 \leq m < l(\pi'), \\ q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{m}{2}) &= 0, \quad l(\pi') \leq m < l(\pi), \end{aligned}$$

where the first identity is the vanishing property of the zonal polynomials (85), and the second is a consequence of the definition (42) with noting $\pi'_{m+1} = 0$, $m \geq l(\pi')$.

In order to show the symmetry relation (43) in a) we let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and π be fixed. Then for any

$$(\alpha', \beta') \in \mathcal{I}_m := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \quad y > m - 1,\ \tfrac{1}{2}(m-1) < x < y - \tfrac{1}{2}(m-1)\}$$

the polynomials $J^{\alpha',\beta'}_{\pi}$ are the original generalized Jacobi polynomials and thus satisfy the relation (43). Now, consider the function

$$(\alpha, \beta, y_1, \ldots, y_m) \mapsto J^{\alpha,\beta}_{\pi}(y_1, \ldots, y_m) - (-1)^{\pi} J^{\beta-\alpha,\beta}_{\pi}(1 - y_1, \ldots, 1 - y_m)$$

defined for $(\alpha, \beta, y_1, \ldots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R} \times (l(\pi) - 1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m$. This is a polynomial in $\alpha, y_1, \ldots, y_m$ and rational in β, which vanishes identically on the open set $\mathcal{I}_m \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Hence, it must vanish on $\mathbb{R} \times (l(\pi) - 1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m$, which proves (43). □

Lemma 6.2. *For any partition π with $l(\pi) \leq \frac{d}{2}$ let $\mathcal{K} := \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ be given. Then for any $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times G_{\ell,d}$ with $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$ it holds*

$$J_\pi^{\frac{\ell}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(y_1(PQ), \dots, y_k(PQ)) = \begin{cases} (b_\pi^{k,\ell,d})^{-1} p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q), & l(\pi) \leq k, \ell \leq d - l(\pi), \\ 0, & \text{else}, \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

where $b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is some normalization constant and $y_1(PQ) \geq \cdots \geq y_d(PQ)$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix PQ (counted with multiplicities).

Proof. We start by observing that we can always switch the roles of $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$. For $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$, it holds

$$y_{\min\{k,\ell\}+1}(PQ) = \cdots = y_d(PQ) = 0. \tag{46}$$

Hence, by the relation (44) in Lemma 6.1, we infer

$$J_\pi^{\frac{\max\{k,\ell\}}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(y_1(PQ), \dots, y_{\min\{k,\ell\}}(PQ)) = J_\pi^{\frac{\min\{k,\ell\}}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(y_1(PQ), \dots, y_{\max\{k,\ell\}}(PQ)).$$

For any $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$, this leads to the requested symmetry relation

$$J_\pi^{\frac{k}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(y_1(PQ), \dots, y_\ell(PQ)) = J_\pi^{\frac{\ell}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(y_1(PQ), \dots, y_k(PQ)), \quad (P, Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}. \tag{47}$$

Note that the relation (45) is true for $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$ with $k < l(\pi)$ or $\ell < l(\pi)$ due to the definition (31) of P_π, which is in accordance with part b) in Lemma 6.1. According to the results in [40], the relation (45) is correct for $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$ with $l(\pi) \leq k \leq \ell \leq \frac{d}{2}$. Hence, the assertion holds for $k \leq \ell \leq \frac{d}{2}$.

We show the validity of (45) for the remaining cases by exploiting the symmetry relations of the intertwining functions and the generalized Jacobi polynomials. For $l(\pi) \leq k \leq d - \ell \leq d/2$, the symmetry relations (30) and (43) imply, for $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$,

$$\begin{aligned} p_\pi^{k,\ell}(I_{k,d}, Q) &= \varepsilon_\ell p_\pi^{k,d-\ell}(I_{k,d}, I - Q) \\ &= \varepsilon_\ell b_\pi^{k,d-\ell,d} J_\pi^{\frac{d-\ell}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(y_1(I_{k,d} - I_{k,d}Q), \dots, y_k(I_{k,d} - I_{k,d}Q)) \\ &= \varepsilon_\ell b_\pi^{k,d-\ell,d} J_\pi^{\frac{d-\ell}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(1 - y_1(I_{k,d}Q), \dots, 1 - y_k(I_{k,d}Q)) \\ &= b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} J_\pi^{\frac{\ell}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(y_1(I_{k,d}Q), \dots, y_k(I_{k,d}Q)) \end{aligned}$$

with normalization factor $b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} := (-1)^{|\pi|} b_\pi^{k,d-\ell,d} \varepsilon_\ell$ and where $I_{k,d}$ denotes the d-by-d matrix with k ones in the left-upper diagonal and zeros elsewhere. Since the kernels on both sides are orthogonally invariant, we can insert $P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ instead of $I_{k,d}$, so that (45) is valid for $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$ with $k \leq \min\{\ell, d - \ell\}$. Together with the symmetries (47) and (29), this implies that the relation (45) is true for any $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$ with $k + \ell \leq d$.

Similarly, the remaining cases can be reduced to the above cases by repeated application of the symmetries. □

Using (41) and (45) we can expand the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$ in terms of zonal polynomials C_π. Moreover, after two preparatory Lemmas we present in Theorem 6.5 a precise formula for the determination of the constants in (45).

Lemma 6.3. *For fixed $k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ the functions $(P, Q) \mapsto C_\pi(PQ)$, $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$ over all partitions π with $l(\pi) \leq \min\{k, d-k, \ell, d-\ell\}$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. The product PQ is characterized in terms of its $m := \min\{k, \ell\}$ largest eigenvalues $1 \geq y_1(PQ) \geq \cdots \geq y_m(PQ) \geq 0$ (counted with its multiplicities). These values can be identified with the principal angles $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m$ between the subspaces defined by the range of P and Q due to the relation $y_i(PQ) = \cos^2(\theta_i)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$. If $k, \ell \leq \frac{d}{2}$ then the set of all ordered eigenvalues is $S_m \times \{0\}^{d-m}$ where S_m is an m-dimensional simplex. In the other cases one can use the orthogonal complement and finds that the set of all ordered eigenvalues has dimension $m_{k,\ell} := \min\{k, d-k, \ell, d-\ell\}$. Now, through some variable transformation and using that the polynomials $C_\pi(\mathrm{diag}(x_1, \ldots, x_{m_{k,\ell}}))$ are linearly independent as symmetric polynomials in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_{m_{k,\ell}}$, for $l(\pi) \leq m_{k,\ell}$, we arrive at the assertion. □

Lemma 6.4. *Let the index set $\mathcal{K} = \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$, a partition π with $l(\pi) \leq \frac{d}{2}$, and the zonal kernel*

$$K_\pi : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad (P, Q) \mapsto C_\pi(PQ),$$

be given. Then the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{S}(K_\pi)$ decomposes into

$$\mathcal{S}(K_\pi) \cong \mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{|\pi'|<|\pi| \\ l(\pi') \leq d/2}} \nu^d_{2\pi,2\pi'}(\mathcal{K}) \mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi'}$$

where $\nu^d_{2\pi,2\pi'}(\mathcal{K}) \leq \nu^d_{2\pi,2\pi'}$, cf. (14).

Proof. We know from Section 3 that the space $F^d_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) \cong \mathcal{F}^d_{2\pi}$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space satisfying

$$F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}) = \mathrm{span}\{C_\pi(X\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}} \to \mathbb{C} \mid X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}\} \cong \mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi} \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{|\pi'|<|2\pi| \\ \overline{\pi}'_1 + \overline{\pi}'_2 \leq d}} \nu^d_{2\pi,\pi'} \mathcal{H}^d_{\pi'}.$$

Moreover, we infer from Theorem A.1 that it holds

$$F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} = \mathcal{S}(K_\pi), \qquad F_\pi(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, d}} = \mathcal{S}(K_\pi|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, d}}).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 4.4 we arrive at the assertion by using that the restriction mappings $f \mapsto f|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$ and $f \mapsto f|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, d}}$ intertwine the respective function spaces and that the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}$ occurs in $\mathcal{S}(K_\pi|_{\mathcal{G}_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, d}})$ according to [40, Theorem 11.1]. □

Next, we determine $b^{k,\ell,d}_\pi$ in (45).

Theorem 6.5. *Let a partition π with $l(\pi) \leq d/2$ be given. Then, for $P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}$, $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$ with $l(\pi) \leq k, \ell \leq d - l(\pi)$, it holds*

$$p^{k,\ell}_\pi(P, Q) = (v^k_\pi v^\ell_\pi)^{-1} \sum_{\pi' \leq \pi} c^{\frac{d}{2}}_{\pi,\pi'} q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{k}{2}) q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{\ell}{2}) \pi' C_{\pi'}(PQ), \tag{48}$$

where $v^k_\pi = \pm \Big(J^{\frac{k}{2}, \frac{d}{2}}_\pi (\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k\text{-times}}) / \dim(\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi}) \Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $l(\pi) \leq k \leq d - l(\pi)$.

Note, the ambiguity in the sign of the normalization factors corresponds to the ambiguity in the chosen system of intertwining functions, cf. Remark 5.3.

Proof. For fixed π with $l(\pi) \leq \frac{d}{2}$ set $\mathcal{K} := \{l(\pi), \dots, d - l(\pi)\}$. According to Lemma 6.2 with (41) and (46), the expansion

$$p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) = b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} \sum_{\pi' \leq \pi} c_{\pi,\pi'}^{\frac{d}{2}} q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{k}{2}) q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{\ell}{2}) C_{\pi'}(PQ), \quad (P,Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}, \tag{49}$$

holds, for $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$, where the constant $b_\pi^{k,\ell,d}$ remains to be determined. Now, consider the positive definite zonal kernel

$$K_\pi : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad K_\pi(P,Q) := C_\pi(PQ), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}.$$

From Lemma 6.4 we infer together with Theorem 5.8 that the kernel K_π has the Fourier expansion

$$K_\pi = \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{K}_\pi^\top P_\pi) + \sum_{|\pi'|<|\pi|,\, l(\pi') \leq \frac{d}{2}} \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{K}_{\pi'}^\top P_{\pi'}).$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 6.4 we know that the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ of $\mathcal{O}(d)$ occurs exactly once in $\mathcal{S}(K_\pi)$, so that Corollary 5.9 yields $\hat{K}_\pi = v_\pi^* v_\pi$ for some nonzero vector $v_\pi \in \mathbb{R}^{\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})}$. Setting

$$K_{\pi,\pi} := \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{K}_\pi^\top P_\pi) = v_\pi^* P_\pi v_\pi, \tag{50}$$

we have

$$K_{\pi,\pi}(P,Q) = C_\pi(PQ) - \sum_{|\pi'|<|\pi|,\, l(\pi') \leq \frac{d}{2}} \operatorname{Tr}(\hat{K}_{\pi'}^\top P_{\pi'}(P,Q)), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}.$$

Of course, the expansion remains valid if we restrict $K_{\pi,\pi}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$ with $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$. We now aim to apply Lemma 6.3 for comparison of coefficients. Let $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$ be fixed and denote again $m_{k,\ell} := \min\{k, d-k, \ell, d-\ell\}$. For $(P,Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$, (31) and (85) imply $P_{\pi'}(P,Q) = 0$ with $l(\pi') > m_{k,\ell}$. Therefore, the expansion given in (49) yields

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\pi,\pi}(P,Q) &= C_\pi(PQ) - \sum_{|\pi'|<|\pi|,\, l(\pi') \leq m_{k,\ell}} \hat{K}_{\pi'}^{k,\ell} p_{\pi'}^{k,\ell}(P,Q)) \\ &= C_\pi(PQ) - \sum_{|\pi'|<|\pi|,\, l(\pi') \leq m_{k,\ell}} \tilde{c}_{\pi,\pi'}^{k,\ell,d} C_{\pi'}(PQ), \quad (P,Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}, \end{aligned}$$

for some coefficients $\tilde{c}_{\pi,\pi'}^{k,\ell,d} \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand by definition of $K_{\pi,\pi}$ and the property $l(\pi) \leq m_{k,\ell}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\pi,\pi}(P,Q) &= v_\pi^k v_\pi^\ell \, p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) \\ &= v_\pi^k v_\pi^\ell \, b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} \sum_{\substack{\pi' \leq \pi, \\ l(\pi') \leq m_{k,\ell}}} c_{\pi,\pi'}^{\frac{d}{2}} q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{k}{2}) q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{\ell}{2}) C_{\pi'}(PQ), \quad (P,Q) \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, Lemma 6.3 justifies comparison of coefficients of $C_{\pi'}(PQ)$ in the two expansions leading to

$$1 = v_\pi^k v_\pi^\ell \, b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} c_{\pi,\pi}^{\frac{d}{2}}.$$

Together with $c_{\pi,\pi}^{\frac{d}{2}} = 1$, we obtain the factorization

$$b_\pi^{k,\ell,d} = (v_\pi^k v_\pi^\ell)^{-1}, \qquad l(\pi) \leq k, \ell \leq d - l(\pi)$$

implying (48). The value of v_π^k is then determined by the normalization (27) of the intertwining functions due to

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d) = P_\pi^{k,k}(I_{k,d}, I_{k,d}) = (v_\pi^k)^{-2} J_\pi^{\frac{k}{2},\frac{d}{2}}(\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{k\text{-times}}), \quad l(\pi) \le k \le d - l(\pi),$$

which concludes the proof. □

For the next result, let us recall that $H_\pi^t(\mathcal{G}_d)$ is defined in (18).

Corollary 6.6. *Let a partition π with $l(\pi) \le \frac{d}{2}$ be given. Then the zonal kernel defined by*

$$p_\pi(P,Q) := \sum_{\pi' \le \pi} c_{\pi,\pi'}^{\frac{d}{2}} q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(P)) q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(Q)) C_{\pi'}(PQ), \quad P,Q \in \mathcal{G}_d \tag{51}$$

is a reproducing kernel of $H_\pi^{|\pi|}(\mathcal{G}_d)$ and satisfies the symmetry relation

$$p_\pi(P,Q) = (-1)^{|\pi|} p_\pi(P, I - Q), \qquad P,Q \in \mathcal{G}_d. \tag{52}$$

Proof. Consider the kernel $K_{\pi,\pi}$ defined in (50) in the proof of Theorem 6.5. From this proof we already know that for $P,Q \in \mathcal{K}$ with $l(\pi) \le \operatorname{Tr}(P), \operatorname{Tr}(Q) \le d - l(\pi)$ it holds

$$K_{\pi,\pi}(P,Q) = \sum_{\pi' \le \pi} c_{\pi,\pi'} q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(P)) q_{\pi,\pi'}(\tfrac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(Q)) C_{\pi'}(PQ). \tag{53}$$

Since $K_{\pi,\pi} = v_\pi^* P_\pi v_\pi$ we know further that $K_{\pi,\pi}(P,Q) = 0$ whenever $\min\{\operatorname{Tr}(P), d - \operatorname{Tr}(P)\} < l(\pi)$ or $\min\{\operatorname{Tr}(Q), d - \operatorname{Tr}(Q)\} < l(\pi)$. This shows together with Lemma 6.2 that $p_\pi = K_{\pi,\pi}|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$. Furthermore, by definition of $K_{\pi,\pi}$ and Lemma 4.4 we arrive at the first assertion.

Finally, we observe $H_\pi^{|\pi|}(\mathcal{G}_d) = \big(\operatorname{Pol}_{|\pi|}(\mathcal{G}_d) \setminus \operatorname{Pol}_{|\pi|-1}(\mathcal{G}_d)\big) \cap H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_d)$, where $H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_d)$ is defined in (16). Due to Corollary 5.11, p_π must be an even or odd kernel if $|\pi|$ is even or odd, respectively, which implies (52). □

With help of Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 6.6 we can perform a change of basis for the space $L^2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ such that the multiplicities can be also obtained from the rank of the corresponding coefficient matrices.

Theorem 6.7. *Let an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1,\dots,d-1\}$ and a positive definite zonal kernel $K : \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \to \mathbb{C}$ be given. Then K can be expanded as*

$$K(P,Q) = \sum_{l(\pi) \le r(\mathcal{K})} q_\pi\big(\operatorname{Tr}(P), \operatorname{Tr}(Q)\big) p_\pi(P,Q), \qquad P,Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d},$$

where p_π is given by (51) and $q_\pi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ can be any function satisfying

$$q_\pi(k,\ell) = (v_\pi^k)^{-1} \hat{K}_\pi^{k,\ell} (v_\pi^\ell)^{-1}, \qquad k,\ell \in \{l(\pi),\dots,d-l(\pi)\} \cap \mathcal{K}, \tag{54}$$

with v_π^k as in Theorem 6.5. In particular, the multiplicity of the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ in $\mathcal{S}(K)$ is the rank of the matrix $\big(q_\pi(k,\ell)\big)_{k,\ell \in \{l(\pi),\dots,d-l(\pi)\} \cap \mathcal{K}}$.

Proof. For any fixed partition π with $l(\pi) \le r(\mathcal{K})$, Theorem 6.5 yields, for $P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}(\hat{K}_\pi P_\pi(P,Q)) &= \sum_{\substack{k,\ell\in\mathcal{K},\\ l(\pi)\le k,\ell\le d-l(\pi)}} \hat{K}_\pi^{k,\ell} P_\pi^{k,\ell}(P,Q) \\ &= p_\pi(P,Q) \sum_{\substack{k,\ell\in\mathcal{K},\\ l(\pi)\le k,\ell\le d-l(\pi)}} q_\pi^{k,\ell} 1_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}}(P) 1_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}}(Q),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$q_\pi^{k,\ell} := (v_\pi^k)^{-1} \hat{K}_\pi^{k,\ell} (v_\pi^\ell)^{-1}, \qquad k,\ell \in \{l(\pi), \dots, d-l(\pi)\} \cap \mathcal{K}.$$

Since $p_\pi(P,Q) = 0$ if $\min\{\mathrm{Tr}(P), d-\mathrm{Tr}(P)\} < l(\pi)$ or $\min\{\mathrm{Tr}(Q), d-\mathrm{Tr}(Q)\} < l(\pi)$, we arrive at the first assertion. The second statement follows immediately from Corollary 5.9. □

7. The irreducible decomposition of polynomial spaces on unions of Grassmannians

In the present section we shall derive the dimension formula of the space of polynomials on unions of Grassmannians.

Lemma 7.1. *For any partition π with $l(\pi) \le \frac{d}{2}$, let $K : \mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a positive definite zonal kernel, which obeys the symmetry relation*

$$K(P,Q) = (-1)^t K(P, I-Q), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d, \tag{55}$$

for some $t \ge |\pi|$, and admits the expansion

$$K(P,Q) = \sum_{\substack{|\pi'|\le t,\\ l(\pi')\le d}} r_{\pi'}(\mathrm{Tr}(P), \mathrm{Tr}(Q)) C_{\pi'}(PQ), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d.$$

If $\mathcal{S}(K) \subset H_\pi(\mathcal{G}_d)$, then it holds, for $k \in \{l(\pi), \dots, \frac{d}{2}\}$ and $\ell \in \{l(\pi), \dots, d-l(\pi)\}$,

$$r_\pi(k,\ell) = (-1)^{t-|\pi|} r_\pi(k, d-\ell). \tag{56}$$

Proof. We know by Theorem 6.7 that K can be also expanded as

$$K(P,Q) = q_\pi(\mathrm{Tr}(P), \mathrm{Tr}(Q)) p_\pi(P,Q), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d,$$

for some function q_π. We now fix $k \in \mathcal{K} := \{l(\pi), \dots, \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor\}$. By Lemma 6.3 and as in the proof of Theorem 6.5, we can compare the coefficients of $C_{\pi'}(PQ)$ on $\mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$, $\ell \in \mathcal{K}$ and obtain

$$r_{\pi'}(k,\ell) = 0, \qquad \ell \in \mathcal{K}, \qquad |\pi'| > |\pi|, \quad l(\pi') \le k. \tag{57}$$

For $P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}$, the orthogonal invariance and the binomial expansion of the zonal polynomials, cf. (91), yields

$$\begin{aligned} K(P, I-Q) &= \sum_{\substack{|\pi'|\leq t,\\ l(\pi')\leq k}} r_{\pi'}(\operatorname{Tr}(P), d-\operatorname{Tr}(Q)) C_{\pi'}(P(I-Q)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{|\pi'|\leq t,\\ l(\pi')\leq k}} r_{\pi'}(\operatorname{Tr}(P), d-\operatorname{Tr}(Q)) \sum_{\pi''\leq\pi'} \binom{\pi'}{\pi''} (-1)^{|\pi''|} \frac{C_{\pi'}(I_k)}{C_{\pi''}(I_k)} C_{\pi''}(PQ) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{|\pi''|\leq t,\\ l(\pi'')\leq k}} \tilde{r}_{\pi''}(\operatorname{Tr}(P), \operatorname{Tr}(Q)) C_{\pi''}(PQ), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d, \end{aligned}$$

where we defined, for $|\pi''| \leq t$ and $l(\pi'') \leq k$,

(58)
$$\tilde{r}_{\pi''}(k,\ell) := \sum_{\substack{|\pi'|\leq t,\\ \pi''\leq\pi',\, l(\pi')\leq k}} \binom{\pi'}{\pi''} (-1)^{|\pi''|} \frac{C_{\pi'}(I_k)}{C_{\pi''}(I_k)} r_{\pi'}(k, d-\ell), \qquad \ell \in \{1, \ldots, d-1\}.$$

According to the assumption $K(P,Q) = (-1)^t K(P, I-Q)$, we again compare coefficients and obtain

$$\tilde{r}_{\pi''}(k,\ell) = 0, \qquad \ell \in \mathcal{K}, \qquad |\pi''| > |\pi|, \quad l(\pi'') \leq k.$$

Note that $\binom{\pi'}{\pi'} = 1$ holds, cf. [26]. One can now show by induction using (58) and starting with $|\pi''| = t$ that this implies

$$r_{\pi'}(k, d-\ell) = 0, \qquad \ell \in \mathcal{K}, \qquad |\pi'| > |\pi|, \quad l(\pi') \leq k.$$

By using the latter, for $P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ and $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$, we arrive at the expansions

$$\begin{aligned} K(P,Q) &= \sum_{\substack{|\pi'|\leq|\pi|,\\ l(\pi')\leq k}} r_{\pi'}(\operatorname{Tr}(P), \operatorname{Tr}(Q)) C_{\pi'}(PQ), \\ K(P, I-Q) &= \sum_{\substack{|\pi''|=|\pi|,\\ l(\pi'')\leq k}} (-1)^{|\pi''|} r_{\pi''}(\operatorname{Tr}(P), d-\operatorname{Tr}(Q)) C_{\pi''}(PQ) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{|\pi''|<|\pi|,\\ l(\pi'')\leq k}} \tilde{r}_{\pi''}(\operatorname{Tr}(P), \operatorname{Tr}(Q)) C_{\pi''}(PQ). \end{aligned}$$

According to the assumption (55), $K(P,Q) = (-1)^t K(P, I-Q)$, so that the assertion (56) is derived by comparing coefficients again. □

Lemma 7.2. *For any partition π with $l(\pi) \leq \frac{d}{2}$, let positive definite kernels $K_\pi^i : \mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d \to \mathbb{R}$, for $i = 0, \ldots, |\{l(\pi), \ldots, l(\pi) - d\}| - 1$, be given by*

$$K_\pi^i(P,Q) := q_\pi^i(P) q_\pi^i(Q) p_\pi(P,Q), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d, \tag{59}$$

where $q_\pi^i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are polynomials of degree i satisfying the orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{m=l(\pi)}^{d-l(\pi)} q_\pi^i(m) q_\pi^j(m) |v_\pi^m|^2 = \delta_{i,j} \qquad i, j = 0, \ldots, |\{l(\pi), \ldots, l(\pi) - d\}| - 1.$$

Then it holds

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s} \mathcal{S}(K_\pi^i) = H_\pi^{|\pi|+s}(\mathcal{G}_d), \qquad s = 0, \dots, |\{l(\pi), \dots, l(\pi) - d\}| - 1. \tag{60}$$

Recall that $|\{l(\pi), \dots, l(\pi) - d\}| - 1 = \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}) - 1$.

Proof. Using for $P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}$, $Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$ the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{G}_{m,d}} p_\pi(P,R)p_\pi(R,Q)\mathrm{d}\sigma_{m,d}(R) =& v_\pi^k |v_\pi^m|^2 v_\pi^\ell \int_{\mathcal{G}_{m,d}} p_\pi^{k,m}(P,R)p_\pi^{m,\ell}(R,Q)\mathrm{d}\sigma_{m,d}(R)\\ =& |v_\pi^m|^2 p_\pi(P,Q),\end{aligned}$$

we infer that $K_\pi^i * K_\pi^j = \delta_{i,j} K_\pi^j$ by definition (59). Hence, with Theorem 6.7 we find

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{s} S(K_i) \subset H_\pi^{|\pi|+s}(\mathcal{G}_d), \qquad s = 0, \dots, |\{l(\pi), \dots, d - l(\pi)\}|. \tag{61}$$

We aim to show by induction over s that it holds equality. For $s = 0$, this is true since $\mathcal{S}(K_\pi^0) = \mathcal{S}(p_\pi) = H_\pi^{|\pi|}(\mathcal{G}_d)$. Now, let $0 < s \leq |\{l(\pi), \dots, d - l(\pi)\}| - 1$ be given and assume that the equation (60) is valid for all $0 \leq s' < s$. We consider an arbitrary positive definite zonal kernel $K : \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d} \times \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d} \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most $|\pi| + s$ such that

$$\mathcal{S}(K|_{\mathcal{G}_d\times\mathcal{G}_d}) \subset H_\pi^{|\pi|+s}(\mathcal{G}_d) \setminus H_\pi^{|\pi|+s-1}(\mathcal{G}_d), \qquad \mathcal{S}(K|_{\mathcal{G}_d\times\mathcal{G}_d}) \cong \mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d. \tag{62}$$

By invariant theory, cf. [51, Theorem 7.1], we know that the ring of zonal kernels on $\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d} \times \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d}$ is generated by polynomials of the form

$$\mathrm{Tr}(X^{k_1}Y^{\ell_1}\dots X^{k_j}Y^{\ell_j}), \qquad X, Y \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d}, \qquad k_i, \ell_i \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ i = 1\dots, j,\ j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{63}$$

Hence, we can expand the kernel K into polynomials of the form

$$\mathrm{Tr}(X)^k \,\mathrm{Tr}(Y)^\ell \prod_{j=1}^{|\pi|+s} \mathrm{Tr}(X^{k_t^j}Y^{\ell_1^j}\dots X^{k_t^j}Y^{\ell_t^j}), \quad k + \sum_{i=1}^{t}\sum_{j=1}^{|\pi|+s} k_i^j,\ \ell + \sum_{i=1}^{t}\sum_{j=1}^{|\pi|+s} \ell_i^j \leq |\pi| + s.$$

Restricting these polynomials to the set $\mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d$ leads to polynomials of the form

$$\mathrm{Tr}(X)^{k'} \,\mathrm{Tr}(Y)^{\ell'} \prod_{i=1}^{l(\pi')} \mathrm{Tr}((XY)^{\pi_i'}), \qquad k' + |\pi'|,\ \ell' + |\pi'| \leq |\pi| + s.$$

Noting that any polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{l(\pi')} \mathrm{Tr}(X^{\pi_i'})$ can be expanded into zonal polynomials $C_{\pi''}(X)$ with $|\pi''| = |\pi'|$ leads to the expansion

$$K(P,Q) = \sum_{|\pi'|\leq|\pi|+s} r_{\pi'}(\mathrm{Tr}(P), \mathrm{Tr}(Q))C_{\pi'}(PQ), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d,$$

for some polynomials $r_{\pi'} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of degree at most $|\pi| + s - |\pi'|$. Moreover, by Corollary 5.11 and the first property in (62), the kernel $K|_{\mathcal{G}_d\times\mathcal{G}_d}$ is also even or odd if $|\pi| + s$ is even or odd, respectively, i.e.,

$$K(P,Q) = (-1)^{|\pi|+s} K(P, I - Q), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d. \tag{64}$$

On the other hand, by Theorem 6.7 and the second property in (62), we can also write

$$K(P,Q) = q(\mathrm{Tr}(P))q(\mathrm{Tr}(Q))p_\pi(P,Q), \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_d,$$

for some function $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. As in the proof of Theorem 6.5 Lemma 6.3 enables us to compare coefficients of $C_\pi(PQ)$ on $\mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$, $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{K} := \{l(\pi), \dots, \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor\}$ in both expansions. We obtain

$$r_\pi(k, \ell) = q(k)q(\ell), \qquad k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}. \tag{65}$$

Furthermore, by the second property in (62) and the symmetry (64) the kernel $K|_{\mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d}$ cannot vanish identically on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$. Hence, there exists $k' \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $q(k') \neq 0$, and we infer by the relation (65) that

$$q(\ell) = r_\pi(k', \ell)/q(k'), \qquad \ell \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Furthermore, the symmetry (64) and Lemma 7.1 yield, for $\ell \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$q(d - \ell) = q(\ell) = (-1)^s r_\pi(k', \ell)/q(k') = r_\pi(k', d - \ell)/q(k').$$

That is $q|_{\{l(\pi),\dots,d-l(\pi)\}}$ is a polynomial of degree at most s. By choice of K and the induction hypothesis, we infer $K|_{\mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d} * K_\pi^i \equiv 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, s-1$. Equivalently, we have

$$\sum_{m=l(\pi)}^{d-l(\pi)} q_\pi^i(m) q(m) |v_\pi^m|^2 = 0, \qquad i = 0, \dots, s-1.$$

Since $q_\pi^i|_{\{l(\pi),\dots,d-l(\pi)\}}$ are s linearly independent polynomials of degree at most $s-1$, which are orthogonal to $q|_{\{l(\pi),\dots,d-l(\pi)\}}$, we conclude that

$$q|_{\{l(\pi),\dots,d-l(\pi)\}} = c q_\pi^s|_{\{l(\pi),\dots,d-l(\pi)\}},$$

for some constant $c \neq 0$. In other words $K|_{\mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d} = c K_\pi^s$ and thus $\mathcal{S}(K|_{\mathcal{G}_d \times \mathcal{G}_d}) = \mathcal{S}(K_\pi^s)$. Since K was any kernel with the property (62), we derive

$$H_\pi^{|\pi|+s}(\mathcal{G}_d) \setminus H_\pi^{|\pi|+s-1}(\mathcal{G}_d) \cong \mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d,$$

so that (61) yields the assertion for s. □

Theorem 7.3. *Let an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ be given. Then the multiplicity $\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ in $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$, $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, is*

$$\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t) = \begin{cases} \min\{t - |\pi| + 1, \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\}, & 0 \leq |\pi| \leq t, \quad l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K}), \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{66}$$

Proof. Using Theorem 6.7 we find the general lower bound, for π with $l(\pi) \leq r(\mathcal{K})$,

$$\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t) \geq \min\{t - |\pi| + 1, \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})\}, \qquad 0 \leq |\pi| \leq t, \qquad t \in \mathbb{N}_0, \tag{67}$$

since $\mathcal{S}(K_t) \subset \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with the positive definite zonal kernel

$$K_\pi^t(P, Q) := p_\pi(P, Q) \sum_{i=0}^{\min\{t-|\pi|, \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})-1\}} \big(\operatorname{Tr}(P) \operatorname{Tr}(Q)\big)^i, \qquad P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}.$$

On the other hand, Lemma 7.2 implies that $\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t) \leq \mu_\pi^d(\{l(\pi), \dots, d-l(\pi)\}, t) \leq t - |\pi| + 1$, which leads together with the general upper bound $\mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t) \leq \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K})$ to the assertion. □

Corollary 7.4. *Let an index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \{k_1, \dots, k_r\} \subset \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ with $r := |\mathcal{K}|$ be given such that*

$$\min\{k_1, d - k_1\} \geq \cdots \geq \min\{k_r, d - k_r\}. \tag{68}$$

Then the dimension of all polynomials with degree at most t in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ is

$$\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_{t-i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})), \quad s := \min\{t+1, r\}. \tag{69}$$

Proof. We prove the formula (69) by counting the occurrences of $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d)$ in the right hand side and call it $\tilde{\mu}_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t)$. Recall that $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ occurs exactly once in $\mathrm{Pol}_{t-i+1}(\mathcal{G}_{k_i,d})$ (and otherwise not at all) if and only if

$$t - i + 1 \geq |\pi| \qquad \text{and} \qquad \min\{k_i, d - k_i\} \geq l(\pi), \qquad i = 1, \ldots, s+1. \tag{70}$$

Hence, $\tilde{\mu}_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t)$ is the maximal number $i = 1, \ldots, s+1$ such that both inequalities are satisfied. If the conditions are considered independently, we have the respective maximal numbers

$$i_1 := t - |\pi| + 1, \qquad i_2 := |\{k \in \mathcal{K} \mid \min\{k, d-k\} \geq l(\pi)\}| = \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}),$$

where the latter follows from the order of the k_i assumed in (68). Since in (70) the number i is at most s and both inequalities must hold simultaneously we find, for $|\pi| \leq t$,

$$\tilde{\mu}_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t) = \min\{i_1, i_2, s\} = \min\{t - |\pi| + 1, \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}), t+1, r\} = \mu_\pi^d(\mathcal{K}, t),$$

which concludes the proof. □

Remark 7.5. The proof of Lemma 6.4 shows that the restriction $K_{2\pi}|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}$ of the reproducing kernel $K_{2\pi} : \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d} \times \mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d} \to \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ in $\mathrm{Hom}_{|\pi|}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ is a reproducing kernel of $H_\pi^{|\pi|}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$, see (18). Together with Corollary 5.9 we have the expansion

$$K_{2\pi}|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} = \sum_{\substack{k,\ell \in \mathcal{K}, \\ l(\pi) \leq k, \ell \leq d - l(\pi)}} v_\pi^k v_\pi^\ell P_\pi^{k,\ell},$$

for some coefficients $v_\pi^k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Now, assume $K_{2\pi}$ is given explicitly. Then the coefficients v_π^k are determined by

$$|v_\pi^k|^2 = K_{2\pi}(P, P) / \dim(\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d},$$

since the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,k}$ satisfy $p_\pi^{k,k}(P, P) = \dim(\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d)$, $P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}$. The ambiguity in the sign of the coefficients v_π^k corresponds to the ambiguity in the choice of the intertwining functions $p_\pi^{k,\ell}$, cf. Remark 5.3. Without loss of generality we choose $v_\pi^k > 0$ and it follows that the intertwining functions can be computed by

$$p_\pi^{k,\ell}(P, Q) = \frac{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d)}{\sqrt{K_{2\pi}(P,P) K_{2\pi}(Q,Q)}} K_{2\pi}(P, Q), \qquad P \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}, \quad Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}.$$

Example 7.6. We obtain the reproducing kernels $K_{2\pi}$ with respect to the differentiation inner product for the subspaces in $\mathrm{Hom}_{|\pi|}(\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{sym}}^{d\times d})$ equivalent to $\mathcal{H}_{2\pi}^d$ with

$|\pi| = 0, 1, 2$ through some algebraic computations. For $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{(0)}(X,Y) &= 1,\\
K_{(2)}(X,Y) &= \operatorname{Tr}(XY) - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(X)\operatorname{Tr}(Y)}{d},\\
K_{(4)}(X,Y) &= \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(XY)^2 + 2\operatorname{Tr}((XY)^2)}{6} + \frac{\big(\operatorname{Tr}(X)^2 + 2\operatorname{Tr}(X^2)\big)\big(\operatorname{Tr}(Y)^2 + 2\operatorname{Tr}(Y^2)\big)}{6(d+2)(d+4)}\\
&\quad - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(XY)\operatorname{Tr}(X)\operatorname{Tr}(Y) + 2\operatorname{Tr}(XY^2)\operatorname{Tr}(X) + 2\operatorname{Tr}(X^2Y)\operatorname{Tr}(Y) + 4\operatorname{Tr}(X^2Y^2)}{3(d+4)},\\
K_{(2,2)}(X,Y) &= \frac{\big(\operatorname{Tr}(XY)^2 - \operatorname{Tr}((XY)^2)\big)}{3} + \frac{\big(\operatorname{Tr}(X)^2 - \operatorname{Tr}(X^2)\big)\big(\operatorname{Tr}(Y)^2 - \operatorname{Tr}(Y^2)\big)}{3(d-1)(d-2)}\\
&\quad - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(XY)\operatorname{Tr}(X)\operatorname{Tr}(Y) - \operatorname{Tr}(XY^2)\operatorname{Tr}(X) - \operatorname{Tr}(X^2Y)\operatorname{Tr}(Y) + \operatorname{Tr}(X^2Y^2)}{3(d-2)/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the kernel $K_{(2,2)}$ is only defined for $d \geq 3$ and $K_{(2,2)} \equiv 0$ for $d = 3$. This is in perfect accordance with the decomposition of $\operatorname{Hom}_2(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}})$, where the irreducible representation $\mathcal{H}^d_{(2,2)}$ only occurs for $d \geq 4$, see Example 4.5.

Now, we recover that the restriction of $K_{2\pi}$ is equal to p_π defined in Corollary 6.6 up to normalization, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{(0)} &= 1|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}, & p_{(2)} &= 2K_{(4)}|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}},\\
p_{(1)} &= K_{(2)}|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}, & p_{(1,1)} &= 2K_{(2,2)}|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further restricting p_π to $\mathcal{G}_{k,d} \times \mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}$ yields the intertwining polynomials $p^{k,\ell}_\pi$ up to the factor $(v^k_\pi v^\ell_\pi)^{-1}$ in Theorem 6.5, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
p^{k,\ell}_{(0)} &= (v^k_{(0)}v^\ell_{(0)})^{-1}\, 1|_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}}, & p^{k,\ell}_{(2)} &= (v^k_{(2)}v^\ell_{(2)})^{-1}\, p_{(2)}|_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}},\\
p^{k,\ell}_{(1)} &= (v^k_{(1)}v^\ell_{(1)})^{-1}\, p_{(1)}|_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}}, & p^{k,\ell}_{(1,1)} &= (v^k_{(1,1)}v^\ell_{(1,1)})^{-1}\, p_{(1,1)}|_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\times\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}},
\end{aligned}$$

where $v^k_\pi = \sqrt{p_\pi(I_k, I_k)/\dim(\mathcal{H}^d_{2\pi})}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
v^k_{(0)} &= 1, & v^k_{(2)} &= \sqrt{\frac{8k(k+2)(d-k)(d-k+2)}{(d-1)d(d+1)(d+2)(d+4)(d+6)}},\\
v^k_{(1)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2k(d-k)}{(d-1)d(d+2)}}, & v^k_{(1,1)} &= \sqrt{\frac{8(k-1)k(d-k-1)(d-k)}{(d-3)(d-2)(d-1)d(d+1)(d+2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

8. Cubatures and designs on unions of Grassmannians

So far, we have investigated on the irreducible decomposition of polynomial spaces on unions of Grassmannians. This enables us to introduce and study *cubatures* on unions of Grassmannians in the remaining part of the present manuscript. Note that cubatures (or quadratures) are a fundamental concept of numerical integration and are also often considered as finitely many representatives of some underlying continuous space, cf. [5, 11, 22, 23, 38, 54].

8.1. **Introducing cubatures and designs.** The orthogonally invariant finite signed measures $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ are linear combinations of the Haar (probability) measures $\sigma_{k,d}$ with $k \in \mathcal{K}$, i.e.,

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} m_k \sigma_{k,d},$$

for some $\{m_k\}_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Note, we do not require the coefficients m_k to be nonnegative. For points $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ and weights $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}$ (not necessarily nonnegative), we say that $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ (resp. $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$) with respect to $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}$ if

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} f(P)d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(P) = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j f(P_j), \qquad f \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}) \quad (\text{resp. } f \in \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})).$$

Note, if $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a cubature for $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with $\sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} m_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j$ then it is also a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$.

The following sufficient and necessary existence results are from [22].

Proposition 8.1 (Proposition 2.6 and 2.7 in [22])**.** *For any measure μ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$, there is a cubature with nonnegative weights for the space $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to μ of cardinality less or equal to $\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}))$. If $|\mathcal{K}| = 1$, then this bound can be reduced by one.*

Note that Proposition 8.1 deals with proper measures, not signed measures.

Proposition 8.2 (Proposition 1.7 in [22])**.** *Let μ be a measure on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ with full support. If $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_{2t}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with nonnegative weights, then $n \geq \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}))$.*

Cubatures on unions of Grassmannians induce cubatures on single Grassmannians, but potentially with lower strength:

Theorem 8.3. *If $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$, $t \geq |\mathcal{K}| - 1$, with respect to the signed measure $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} m_k\sigma_{k,d}$, then, for any $k \in \mathcal{K}$,*

$$\{(P_j,\omega_j) : P_j \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d},\ j = 1,\dots,n\}$$

is a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_s(\mathcal{G}_{k,d})$ with respect to the signed measure $m_k\sigma_{k,d}$ where $s = t - |\mathcal{K}| + 1$.

Proof. Let f be a polynomial of degree at most s on $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, then we know that $1_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} \cdot f$ is a polynomial of degree at most t. Hence, the statement follows from

$$m_k \int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} f(P)d\sigma_{k,d} = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} (1_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} \cdot f)(P)d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d} = \sum_{\{j:P_j\in\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\}} \omega_j f(P_j). \qquad \square$$

We also observe that any cubature of strength $2t$ gives rise to a cubature of strength $2t+1$:

Theorem 8.4. *Let $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ be a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to the signed measure $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} m_k\sigma_{k,d}$. Then*

$$\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n \cup \{(I - P_j, (-1)^t\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n \tag{71}$$

is a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_{t+1}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}\cup(d-\mathcal{K}),d})$ with respect to the signed measure

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{K}\cup(d-\mathcal{K}),d} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} m_k(\sigma_{k,d} + (-1)^t\sigma_{d-k,d}). \tag{72}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 it is sufficient to consider $\langle X, \cdot\rangle^{t+1}|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}\cup(d-\mathcal{K}),d}}$ for $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}\cup(d-\mathcal{K}),d}} \langle X, P\rangle^{t+1} d\sigma_{\mathcal{K}\cup(d-\mathcal{K}),d}(P) &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} \langle X, P\rangle^{t+1} d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(P) + \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} \langle X, I-P\rangle^{t+1}(-1)^t d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(P)\\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} (\langle X, P\rangle^{t+1} + (-1)^t \langle X, I-P\rangle^{t+1}) d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(P).\end{aligned}$$

The mapping $P \mapsto \langle X, P\rangle^{t+1} + (-1)^t\langle X, I-P\rangle^{t+1}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$, because the two terms of exponent $t+1$ cancel out. Thus, $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ being a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ yields

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K}\cup(d-\mathcal{K}),d}} \langle X, P\rangle^{t+1} d\sigma_{\mathcal{K}\cup(d-\mathcal{K}),d}(P) = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j \big(\langle X, P_j\rangle^{t+1} + (-1)^t \langle X, I-P_j\rangle^{t+1}\big),$$

which concludes the proof. □

Remark 8.5. If t is even, then there is no sign change in (71) and (72), hence, positive weights remain positive.

Cubatures of strength t on single Grassmannians, whose weights are all the same, are called t-designs and have been studied in [2, 3, 4, 5]. We shall extend this concept to unions of Grassmannians. Since the characteristic function of each $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ is contained in $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ if $t \geq |\mathcal{K}|-1$ we observe that any cubature $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ for $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to the signed measure $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} m_k \sigma_{k,d}$ satisfies

$$m_k = \sum_{\{j:P_j\in\mathcal{G}_{k,d}\}} \omega_j, \qquad k \in \mathcal{K}.$$

If weights are supposed to be the same on each single Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$, then those weights must be $\frac{m_k}{n_k}$, where $n_k = |\{j : P_j \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}\}|$. Indeed, this condition shall be used in our definition of designs.

Definition 8.6. Let $\mathcal{P}_k$ be a finite subset of $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ and denote $n_k := |\mathcal{P}_k|$. The collection $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{P}_k$ is called a t-design with respect to some orthogonally invariant signed measure $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d} = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} m_k\sigma_{k,d}$ if

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} f(P) d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(P) = \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \tfrac{m_k}{n_k} \sum_{P\in\mathcal{P}_k} f(P), \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}).$$

Thus, any t-design is a cubature, whose weights are the same on each Grassmannian but can differ across different Grassmannians. For $|\mathcal{K}| = 1$, our definition reduces to the standard Grassmannian designs as considered in [2, 3, 4, 5].

Remark 8.7. The existence of t-designs with strength $t = 1$ in single Grassmannians was studied in [15]. For a discussion on the existence of 1-designs in unions of Grassmannians with $\frac{m_k}{n_k} = \frac{m_\ell}{n_\ell}$, for all $k, \ell \in \mathcal{K}$, we refer to [13].

8.2. **Constructing cubatures and designs by numerical minimization.** In order to numerically construct cubatures or designs, we shall make use of the so-called t-fusion frame potential, which was also considered in [6], namely, for any set $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ with nonnegative weights $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^n$

$$\mathrm{FFP}_t(\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n) := \sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i\omega_j \langle P_i, P_j\rangle^t, \tag{73}$$

where t is some positive integer. In particular for $t = 1$, this was investigated in [14, 45]. Lower bounds on the t-fusion frame potential for general positive integers t were derived in [6]. For the single Grassmannian, i.e., $|\mathcal{K}| = 1$, those $\{(P_j, \omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$, for which the bounds are matched, were characterized in [6]. In the following, we provide a characterization for the general case $|\mathcal{K}| \geq 1$, by connecting the t-fusion frame potential FFP_t with a worst-case cubature error in $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$. This point of view does not make use of the nonnegativity requirement on the weights, and so we shall drop it.

The worst-case cubature (or quadrature) error in a reproducing kernel Hilbert space is a widely used and well studied concept. In our setting we consider the worst-case cubature error E_t between a cubature $\{(P_j, \omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ and a signed measure $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}$ on $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ in the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{S}(K_t) = \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with reproducing kernel $K_t(P, Q) = \langle P, Q\rangle^t$, $P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$, defined by

(74)
$$E_t(\{(P_j, \omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n, \sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}) := \sup_{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}), \|f\|_{K_t}=1} \Big| \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} f(P) \mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(P) - \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i f(P_i) \Big|$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{K_t}$ is the norm in $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ induced by K_t, cf. Appendix A. Clearly, the expression in (74) is nonnegative and equals 0 if and only if $\{(P_j, \omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a cubature for $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}$. Using the reproducing property of K_t together with the Riesz Representation Theorem it follows that the squared worst-case cubature error can be written as

(75)
$$E_t^2(\{(P_j, \omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n, \sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j K_t(P_i, P_j) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} K_t(P_i, Q) \mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(Q) + \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} K_t(P, Q) \mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(P) \mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(Q),$$

see, for instance, [33, Theorem 2.7]. This equation leads to a lower bound of the t-fusion frame potential FFP_t, which is an extension of earlier results in [6], where the lower bound was already derived but equality was not discussed. Before we state this result though, it is convenient to define the number

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}}(t) := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}} \langle P, Q\rangle^t d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(P) d\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}(Q) = m^\top T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t) m, \tag{76}$$

where $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} m_k \sigma_{k,d}$ and $m = (m_k)_{k \in \mathcal{K}}$ with the matrix $T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{K}| \times |\mathcal{K}|}$ being given by its entries

$$(T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t))_{k,\ell} := \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\ell,d}} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{k,d}} \langle P, Q\rangle^t d\sigma_{k,d}(P) d\sigma_{\ell,d}(Q). \tag{77}$$

Note, that $T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t)$ is the (0)-th Fourier coefficient of the positive definite zonal kernel K_t, and thus symmetric and positive semidefinite by Theorem 5.8 with rank equals $\min(t, |\mathcal{K}|)$.

Theorem 8.8. *Given $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$, $\mathcal{K} \subset \{1, \ldots, d-1\}$, with weights $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}$ and signed measure $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d} := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} m_k \sigma_{k,d}$ with $m_k := \sum_{P_j \in \mathcal{G}_{k,d}} \omega_j$. Moreover, let $\nu := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} c_k \sigma_{k,d}$ be a signed measure with $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} c_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j$. Then the following points hold:*

(a) *The fusion frame potential is bounded from below by*

$$\mathrm{FFP}_t(\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n) \geq \mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}}(t). \tag{78}$$

Equality holds if and only if $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ *is a cubature for* $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ *with respect to* $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}$.

(b) *If* $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ *is a cubature for* $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ *with respect to* $\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}$*, then it is also a cubature for* $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ *with respect to* ν *if and only if*

$$(c_k - m_k)_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \in \ker T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t). \tag{79}$$

(c) $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ *cannot be a cubature for* $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ *with respect to* ν *if the condition* (79) *is not fulfilled.*

Proof. Using (75) together with (77) we find

$$\mathrm{FFP}_t(\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n) \geq 2m^\top T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t)c - c^\top T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t)c, \tag{80}$$

with equality if and only if $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a cubature for $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to ν. Since $\sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}} c_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j$ we have that $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ is a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to ν if and only if it is a cubature for $\mathrm{Hom}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to ν. Since the matrix $T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t)$ is positive semidefinite the right hand side in (80) is maximized for fixed $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ by $c = m + n$, where $n \in \ker T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t)$. This observation proves part (a) and (b) of the theorem.

Since the maximum $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}}(t)$ is a lower bound, we infer that $\{(P_j,\omega_j)\}_{j=1}^n$ cannot be a cubature for $\mathrm{Pol}_t(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d})$ with respect to the signed measure ν, whenever $c-m \notin \ker T_{\mathcal{K},d}$, which yields part (c). □

Theorem 8.8 enables the use of numerical minimization schemes to derive cubatures. Knowledge of the global lower bound $\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{\mathcal{K},d}}(t)$ is important to check if numerical solutions are indeed cubatures by ruling out that the minimization got stuck in a local minimum. According to (76), explicitly computing the lower bound amounts to computing the matrix $T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t)$. Indeed, $T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t)$ can be determined via the help of zonal polynomials by

$$T_{\mathcal{K},d}(t) = \sum_{\substack{|\pi|=t,\\ l(\pi)\leq d/2}} \frac{c_\pi c_\pi^\top}{C_\pi(I_d)} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{K}|\times|\mathcal{K}|}, \qquad c_\pi := (C_\pi(I_k))_{k\in\mathcal{K}} \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{K}|}, \tag{81}$$

cf. (86) and (89) in Appendix B. Recall, that $C_\pi(I_k)$ is explicitly given by (87).

Remark 8.9. Theorem 8.8 is useful in two ways. (A) First, it enables us to check if a given collection of orthogonal projectors and weights is a cubature. Indeed, we can compute the lower bound on the corresponding fusion frame potential by (81) and (76) and simply check if this bound is attained. (B) Second, we can aim to minimize the fusion frame potential numerically. Once this minimization outputs a candidate, we can check by (A) if it actually is a cubature. For suitable minimization algorithms on Grassmannians, we refer to [33] and [1, 12]. In Section 9 we shall indeed numerically minimize the fusion frame potential with equal weights and check that the lower bound is attained.

9. Examples of t-designs derived from numerical minimization

In contrast to cubatures with flexible weights, the construction of strong designs with few elements is a delicate issue, see [5, 4, 2] for single Grassmannians $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$. Here, we shall construct some families of 1-, 2-, and 3-designs in unions of Grassmannians.

By numerically minimizing the energy functional (78) we compute candidates for t-designs, i.e., t-designs up to machine precision. We verify exactness of our candidates by describing them analytically using group orbits and checking that the energy functional is minimized exactly. In each of the following examples, we have indeed first numerically minimized the fusion frame potential (73) by applying a conjugate gradient approach. Based on the observation that the Gram matrix of these numerical minimizers have particular structures, we looked for group orbits that describe them analytically. This way we were able to check that the lower bound of the fusion frame potential is attained not just up to machine precision but analytically, cf. (81).

9.1. **A family of 1-designs in arbitrary dimensions.** Numerical minimization outcomes provided us with clues to analytically construct d lines and 1 hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^d$, so that the corresponding orthogonal projectors are a 1-design in $\mathcal{G}_{1,d}\cup\mathcal{G}_{d-1,d}$ with respect to the measure $\sigma_{\{1,d-1\},d} = \sigma_{1,d}+m_{d-1}\sigma_{d-1,d}$, with $m_{d-1,d} \in [-1/(d-1), 1]$. Indeed, let the d lines be described by the unit vectors

$$p_i := \sqrt{1-m_{d-1}}\, e_i + \frac{1}{d}\big(\sqrt{1+(d-1)m_{d-1}} - \sqrt{1-m_{d-1}}\big)e \in \mathbb{R}^d, \qquad i = 1,\dots,d,$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are the standard unit vectors, and $e := e_1 + \cdots + e_d$. Then, the rank-1 projectors are given by $P_i := p_i\, p_i^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i = 1,\dots,d$, and the rank-$(d-1)$ projector is given by $P_{d+1} := I_d - \frac{1}{d} e\, e^\top$. In order to check that this family of points provide 1-designs, we apply Theorem 8.8 and calculate the lower bound

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{1,d}+m_{d-1}\sigma_{d-1,d}}(1) = \tfrac{1}{d}\big(1+(d-1)m_{d-1}\big)^2,$$

which equals exactly the value of the 1-fusion frame potential $\mathrm{FFP}_1\big(\{P_j, w_j\}_{j=1}^{d+1}\big)$ with weights $w_1 = \cdots = w_d = \frac{m_{d-1}}{d}$, $w_{d+1} = m_{d-1}$, for $m_{d-1} \in [-1/(d-1), 1]$.

For $m_{d-1} = 1$, the d lines coincide and are orthogonal to the hyperplane. This configuration can also be considered as a single line with one hyperplane forming a 1-design. If $-1/(d-1) \le m_{d-1} < 1$, then this one line is split into d lines forming a $(d-1)$-dimensional simplex, and, for $m_{d-1} = -1/(d-1)$, the lines end up lying in the hyperplane. For $d = 3$ see Figure 1.

Remark 9.1. According to Theorem 8.3, any cubature of strength 1 in $\mathcal{G}_{1,d}\cup\mathcal{G}_{d-1,d}$ yields parts in $\mathcal{G}_{1,d}$ and in $\mathcal{G}_{d-1,d}$ that are cubatures of strength 0. Hence, there must at least be one element in each. For $m_1 = m_{d-1} = 1$, the above examples match this lower bound.

9.2. **A family of 1-designs in $\mathbb{R}^4$.** We construct a family of 2 lines and 2 planes in $\mathbb{R}^4$ forming a 1-design with respect to $m_1 \in [-2, 2]$ and $m_2 = 1$. The rank-1 projectors are given by

$$P_1 := e_1\, e_1^\top, \quad P_2 := e_3\, e_3^\top \in \mathbb{R}^4$$



FIGURE 1. In $\mathbb{R}^3$, one hyperplane with 3 lines can form a 1-design with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 \in [-1/2, 1]$. This construction can be generalized to $\mathbb{R}^d$, so that one hyperplane and d lines form a 1-design.

and the 2-dimensional projectors by

$$P_3 := \begin{pmatrix} p_3\, p_3^\top & 0 \\ 0 & p_3\, p_3^\top \end{pmatrix},\ P_4 := \begin{pmatrix} p_4\, p_4^\top & 0 \\ 0 & p_4\, p_4^\top \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^4, \quad p_{3,4} := \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2 - m_1} \\ \pm\sqrt{2 + m_1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Indeed, this family of points provide 1-designs since the lower bound

$$\mathcal{T}_{m_1\sigma_{1,4}+\sigma_{2,4}}(1) = \left(1 + \tfrac{m_1}{2}\right)^2$$

is attained for $m_1 \in [-2, 2]$.

For $m_1 = 2$, the two planes coincide and the two lines are orthogonal to each other and to the planes. The two planes also coincide for $m_1 = -2$ and then the two lines span the same plane. The choice $m_1 = 0$ yields two planes that are orthogonal to each other.

Remark 9.2. There cannot be any 1-designs in $\mathcal{G}_{1,4} \cup \mathcal{G}_{2,4}$ of cardinality 2 provided that $m_1, m_2 > 0$. In this sense, our example for $m_1 = 2$ and $m_2 = 1$ is optimal.

9.3. **A family of 2-designs in $\mathbb{R}^3$.** Numerical minimization outcomes enabled us to analytically find a family of 6 lines and 4 planes in $\mathbb{R}^3$ forming a 2-design with $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 \in [-3/8, 3/2]$. The tetrahedral group T is generated by a cyclic coordinate shift R_1 and a reflection R_2 given by

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the 6 lines and 4 planes are obtained as distinct orbits under the canonical action of the tetrahedral group. The set of the rank-1 and rank-2 projectors result from

$$\mathcal{P}_1 := \{R P_1 R^\top \ : \ R \in \mathrm{T}\}, \qquad P_1 := \frac{1}{2} p_1\, p_1^\top, \quad p_1 := \left(\sqrt{1-a}, \sqrt{1+a}, 0\right)^\top,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 := \{R P_2 R^\top \ : \ R \in \mathrm{T}\}, \qquad P_2 := I - \frac{1}{3} e\, e^\top \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

respectively, where $a := \sqrt{\frac{1}{15}(3 + 8m_2)}$. One can check that the points $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ provide 2-designs by attaining the lower bound

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{1,3}+m_2\sigma_{2,3}}(2) = \tfrac{1}{15}\left(3 + 16m_2 + 28m_2^2\right),$$

for $m_2 \in [-3/8, 3/2]$.

For $m_2 = 3/2$, we have 4 planes and the 6 lines collapse to the 3 coordinate axes, see also Figure 2. When $m_2 = -3/8$, the 6 lines are exactly the 6 intersections of the 4 planes. For $m_2 = 0$, the 6 lines correspond to the vertices of the icosahedron, in standard orientation given by

$$\pm(1, g, 0)^\top, \pm(1, -g, 0)^\top, \pm(0, 1, g)^\top, \pm(0, 1, -g)^\top, \pm(g, 0, 1)^\top, \pm(g, 0, -1)^\top,$$

where $g := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio.



FIGURE 2. A family of lines and planes in $\mathbb{R}^3$ forming 2-designs.

Remark 9.3. According to Proposition 8.2, any cubature of strength 2 with non-negative weights needs at least n distinct cubature points with $n \geq \dim(\mathrm{Pol}_1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}))$. Since $\dim(\mathrm{Pol}_1(\mathcal{G}_{1,3} \cup \mathcal{G}_{2,3})) = 7$ by Corollary 7.4, our construction of 4 planes and 3 lines associated to the choice $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 3/2$ has the minimal number of elements. Moreover, Theorem 8.3 implies that we cannot go below 3 lines when dealing with 2-designs on $\mathcal{G}_{1,3} \cup \mathcal{G}_{2,3}$.

9.4. **A family of 2-designs in $\mathbb{R}^4$.** Based on numerically minimizing the fusion frame potential, we were able to analytically construct the following family of 8 lines and 8 planes in $\mathbb{R}^4$ forming a 2-design for $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 \in [3/4, 3/2]$. Again we give a construction by defining transformation groups, which generate orbits of lines and planes. The lines are generated by a symmetry group G_1 of cardinality $|\mathrm{G}_1| = 64$, which is generated by a cyclic coordinate shift R_1 and reflection R_2 given by

$$R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the rank-1 projectors are given by the orbit

$$\mathcal{P}_1 := \{R P_1 R^\top \ : \ R \in \mathrm{G}_1\}, \quad P_1 := \frac{1}{2} p_1 \, p_1^\top, \ \ p_1 := \left(\sqrt{1-a}, \sqrt{1+a}, 0, 0\right)^\top,$$

where $a := \sqrt{\frac{1}{9}(8m_2 - 3)}$. The planes are constructed as two orbits under a cyclic group G_2 of cardinality $|\mathrm{G}_2| = 4$ generated by

$$R_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

More precisely, the rank-2 projectors are given by

$$\mathcal{P}_2 := \{RP_iR^\top \ : \ R \in \mathrm{G}_2,\ i = 2,3\},$$
$$P_{2,3} := \frac{1}{6}\begin{pmatrix} 3 \mp \sqrt{1-b^2} & \sqrt{2-3b+b^2} & \pm\sqrt{4-b^2} & \mp\sqrt{2+3b+b^2} \\ \sqrt{2-3b+b^2} & 3 \pm \sqrt{1-b^2} & \sqrt{2+3b+b^2} & \sqrt{4-b^2} \\ \pm\sqrt{4-b^2} & \sqrt{2+3b+b^2} & 3 \mp \sqrt{1-b^2} & \mp\sqrt{2-3b+b^2} \\ \mp\sqrt{2+3b+b^2} & \sqrt{4-b^2} & \mp\sqrt{2-3b+b^2} & 3 \pm \sqrt{1-b^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $b := \sqrt{2 - \frac{3}{2m_2}}$. For the points $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$ the lower bound

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{1,4}+m_2\sigma_{2,4}}(2) = \tfrac{1}{72}\left(9 + 48m_2 + 80m_2^2\right)$$

is attained for $m_2 \in [3/4, 3/2]$, which proves that the set $\mathcal{P}$ is indeed a 2-design.

For $m_2 = 3/2$, each plane intersects two other planes in one line, and the 8 lines coincide in pairs with the coordinate axes.

Remark 9.4. Theorem 8.3 yields that any 2-designs with $d = 4$, $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 3/2$ must have at least 4 lines, which is matched by our example of 4 lines and 8 planes.

9.5. **A 2-design in $\mathbb{R}^5$.** The following collection of 5 lines and 16 planes in $\mathbb{R}^5$ forms a 2-design for $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 5/3$. The lines are given by the 5 coordinate axes, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{P}_1 := \{P_i \ : \ i = 1, \dots, 5\}, \qquad P_i := e_i e_i^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{5\times 5}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, 5.$$

Again, the construction of the planes is given by a certain transformation group G of cardinality $|\mathrm{G}| = 16$, which is generated by

$$G_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the rank-2 projectors are given by the orbit

$$\mathcal{P}_2 := \{RP_6R^\top \ : \ R \in \mathrm{G}\},$$
$$P_6 := \frac{1}{5}\begin{pmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left(9+5\sqrt{3}\right)} & \frac{1}{6}\left(3-5\sqrt{3}\right) & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} & 2 & \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left(9-5\sqrt{3}\right)} & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{6}\left(3+5\sqrt{3}\right) \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left(9+5\sqrt{3}\right)} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left(9-5\sqrt{3}\right)} & 2 & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left(9+5\sqrt{3}\right)} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left(9-5\sqrt{3}\right)} \\ \frac{1}{6}\left(3-5\sqrt{3}\right) & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left(9+5\sqrt{3}\right)} & 2 & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{6}\left(3+5\sqrt{3}\right) & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}\left(9-5\sqrt{3}\right)} & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the lower bound

$$\mathcal{T}_{\sigma_{1,5}+\frac{5}{3}\sigma_{2,5}}(2) = \tfrac{131}{45}$$

is attained for the points $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2$, the set $\mathcal{P}$ is indeed a 2-design.

Remark 9.5. Similar to the previous example, Theorem 8.3 yields that any 2-design with $d = 5$, $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 5/3$ must have at least 5 lines, which is matched.

t	d	n_1	n_2	m_1	m_2
1	4	2	1	2	1
2	3	3	4	1	3/2
2	4	4	8	1	3/2
2	5	5	16	1	5/3
3	3	6	6	1	1

TABLE 1. Configurations of lines and planes in our constructions that satisfy optimality conditions with particularly few points.

9.6. **From $2t$-designs to $2t+1$-designs.** Theorem 8.4 yields a way to derive $2t+1$-designs from $2t$-designs. Hence, any 2-design in the Sections 9.3 and 9.4 gives rise to a 3-design. For instance, the 6 lines going through the vertices of the icosahedron are a 2-design in $\mathbb{R}^3$. By adding the 6 complementary planes, we obtain a 3-design with respect to $m_1 = m_2 = 1$, see Figure 3.



FIGURE 3. 6 lines going through the vertices of the icasohedron and the 6 planes being their orthogonal complements form a 3-design in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Remark 9.6. Theorem 8.3 yields that the parts in each single Grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_{k,d}$ of a 3-design for $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{K},d}$ with $|\mathcal{K}| = 2$ must be a cubature of strength 2. Any such cubature must have at least 6 elements for $d = 3$, so that our 3-design with 12 elements in the union of two Grassmannians is optimal with respect to the cardinality.

In Table 1, we have summarized our constructed designs that satisfy optimality conditions.

APPENDIX A. REPRODUCING KERNEL HILBERT SPACES

A Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}(X)$ of continuous functions on a set X with inner-product $(f,g)_{\mathscr{H}(X)}$, for $f,g \in \mathscr{H}(X)$ is called a reproducing kernel Hilbert space if point

evaluation is continuous. For such a Hilbert space there exists a uniquely determined (continuous) positive definite kernel $K : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$, i.e.,

$$K(x,y) = \overline{K(y,x)}, \quad x,y \in X,$$
$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{M} c_i \overline{c_j} K(x_i,x_j) \geq 0, \quad x_i \in X,\ c_i \in \mathbb{C},\ i = 1,\dots,M,\ M \in \mathbb{N},$$

with $K(x,\cdot) \in \mathscr{H}(X)$, $x \in X$ and which satisfies the reproducing property

$$f(x) = (f, K(x,\cdot))_{\mathscr{H}(X)}, \quad f \in \mathscr{H}(X), \quad x \in X. \tag{82}$$

If $\mathscr{H}(X)$ has a basis of real-valued functions then the reproducing kernel is also real-valued.

Conversely, any continuous positive definite kernel $K : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to a uniquely defined reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{S}(K)$ of continuous functions, for which K is the reproducing kernel and where the function space

$$\mathcal{S}^0(K) := \operatorname{span}\{K(x,\cdot) : x \in X\}$$

is dense. In particular, if $\mathcal{S}^0(K)$ is finite dimensional, $m := \dim(\mathcal{S}^0(K)) < \infty$, one has $\mathcal{S}(K) = \mathcal{S}^0(K)$ and a basis of $\mathcal{S}^0(K)$ is given by the functions

$$K(x_i,\cdot) : X \to \mathbb{C}, \qquad i = 1,\dots,m,$$

whenever the matrix

$$\big(K(x_i,x_j)\big)_{i,j=1}^{m} \in \mathbb{C}^{m\times m}$$

is invertible. The inner product on $\mathcal{S}(K)$, for which K satisfies the reproducing property (82), is

$$(f,g)_{\mathcal{S}(K)} := \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \alpha_i \overline{\beta_j} K(x_i,x_j),$$

where $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i K(x_i,\cdot)$ and $g = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i K(x_i,\cdot)$.

Finally, the notation $\mathcal{S}(K_1) = \mathcal{S}(K_2)$ is understood in the sense of function spaces, i.e., $f \in \mathcal{S}(K_i)$ implies $f \in \mathcal{S}(K_j)$, $i,j = 1,2$, and not in the sense of Hilbert spaces, which would imply $K_1 = K_2$.

Theorem A.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $K : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous positive definite kernel such that $\mathcal{S}(K)$ is finite dimensional. If $Y \subset X$ is compact, then*

$$\mathcal{S}(K)|_Y = \mathcal{S}(K|_{Y\times Y}). \tag{83}$$

More explicitly, (83) says

$$\operatorname{span}\{K(x,\cdot)|_Y : x \in X\} = \operatorname{span}\{K(x,\cdot)|_Y : x \in Y\},$$

so that we can restrict the kernel in both of its arguments.

Proof. Consider a finite Borel measure ρ with $\operatorname{supp}\rho = Y$. Since K is continuous and ρ is finite, $\mathcal{S}(K)$ is compactly embedded into $L^2(Y)$ endowed with the induced measure of ρ. A generalization of Mercers Theorem, cf. [56, Corollary 3.5], leads to the finite expansion

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \lambda_i e_i(x) e_i(y), \qquad x \in X, \quad y \in Y, \tag{84}$$

with $\lambda_i > 0$, and where the functions $e_i \in \mathcal{S}(K)$, $i = 1, \dots, M$, are orthonormal with respect to ρ, i.e.,

$$\int_Y e_i(y) e_j(y) \mathrm{d}\rho(y) = \delta_{i,j}, \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, M.$$

In particular, $\{e_i|_Y\}_{i=1}^m \subset \mathcal{S}(K)|_Y$, are linearly independent. Hence, there are $\{y_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset Y$ such that the matrix $(e_i(y_j))_{i,j=1}^m$ is non-singular. For fixed $x \in X$, there exits coefficients $\{c_{j,x}\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$e_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{j,x} e_i(y_j), \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Due to the expansion (84), we obtain, for all $y \in Y$,

$$K(x,y) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \lambda_i c_{j,x} e_i(y_j) e_i(y) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{j,x} K(y_j, y),$$

which proves the assertion (83). □

Appendix B. Zonal polynomials

The zonal polynomials are indexed by all partitions of the natural numbers and form a basis of the orthogonally invariant polynomials on the space of symmetric matrices $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, see [16, 48, 34] for references on the following remarkable properties that we list here. For a given partition π, the zonal polynomial C_π is a symmetric homogeneous polynomial of degree $|\pi|$ on $\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$. Due to the orthogonal invariance, $C_\pi(X)$ only depends on the eigenvalues of X. Moreover, we have

$$C_\pi(X) = 0, \qquad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}, \quad \mathrm{rank}(X) < l(\pi). \tag{85}$$

Furthermore, it satisfies

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} C_\pi(X \tau_O(Y)) \mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathcal{O}(d)}(O) = \frac{C_\pi(X) C_\pi(Y)}{C_\pi(I_d)}, \qquad X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}, \quad l(\pi) \le d, \tag{86}$$

where I_d is the identity matrix in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Moreover, one has

$$C_\pi(I_d) = 2^{|\pi|} |\pi|! \big(\tfrac{d}{2}\big)_\pi \prod_{1\le i<j\le l(\pi)} (2\pi_i - 2\pi_j - i + j) / \prod_{i=1}^{l(\pi)} (2\pi_i + l(\pi) - i)! \tag{87}$$

where $(a)_\pi$ is the generalized hypergeometric coefficient given by

$$(a)_\pi := \prod_{i=1}^{l(\pi)} \big(a - \tfrac{1}{2}(i-1)\big)_{\pi_i}, \qquad (a)_s := a(a+1)\dots(a+s-1). \tag{88}$$

Finally, they are normalized such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(X)^t = \sum_{\substack{|\pi|=t,\\ l(\pi)\le d}} C_\pi(X), \qquad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}. \tag{89}$$

In particular, we infer $C_{(0)} \equiv 1$ and $C_{(1)}(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(X)$.

It is important to us that the evaluation of zonal polynomials can be extended so that $C_\pi(XY)$ makes sense for $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$, cf. [34]. For positive semi-definite matrices $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}$ the extension is given by

$$C_\pi(XY) = C_\pi(X^{1/2}YX^{1/2}) = C_\pi(Y^{1/2}XY^{1/2}).$$

Finally note, the zonal polynomials do not depend essentially on the dimension d of the matrix argument, due to the identity

$$C_\pi(X) = C_\pi\Big(\begin{pmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\Big), \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}_{\mathrm{sym}}, \tag{90}$$

where the argument on the right hand side is a zero extension of arbitrary size of the matrix X, cf. [48]. In other words, the value of the zonal polynomials C_π depend only on the nonzero eigenvalues of the matrix. This explains the lack of an index d in the notation of zonal polynomials.

We shall also make use of the binomial expansion

$$C_\pi(I_d + X) = \sum_{\pi' \leq \pi} \binom{\pi}{\pi'} \frac{C_\pi(I_d)}{C_{\pi'}(I_d)} C_{\pi'}(X), \tag{91}$$

where $\binom{\pi}{\pi'}$ are generalized binomial coefficients, [26, 48, 16].

Acknowledgments

M. E. and M. G. have been funded by the Vienna Science and Technology Fund (WWTF) through project VRG12-009. The authors would also like to thank Christine Bachoc and Christian Krattenthaler for fruitful discussions.

References

1. P.-A. Absil, R. Mahony, and R. Sepulchre, *Optimization algorithms on matrix manifolds*, Princeton University Press, 2008.
2. C. Bachoc, *Designs, groups and lattices*, J. Theor. Nombres Bordeaux (2005), 25–44.
3. ———, *Linear programming bounds for codes in Grassmannian spaces*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Th. **52** (2006), no. 5, 2111–2125.
4. C. Bachoc, E. Bannai, and R. Coulangeon, *Codes and designs in Grassmannian spaces*, Discrete Mathematics **277** (2004), 15–28.
5. C. Bachoc, R. Coulangeon, and G. Nebe, *Designs in Grassmannian spaces and lattices*, J. Algebraic Combinatorics **16** (2002), 5–19.
6. C. Bachoc and M. Ehler, *Tight p-fusion frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **35** (2013), no. 1, 1–15.
7. B. Bajnok, *On euclidean designs*, Adv. Geom. **6** (2006), no. 3, 423–438.
8. ———, *Orbits of the hyperoctahedral group as Euclidean designs.*, J. Algebraic Combinatorics **25** (2007), no. 4, 375–397.
9. E. Bannai and E. Bannai, *On Euclidean tight* 4*-designs*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **58** (2006), no. 3, 775–804.
10. E. Bannai, E. Bannai, and J. Shigezumi, *A new euclidean tight* 6*-design*, Annals of Combinatorics **16** (2012), no. 4, 651–659.
11. A. Bondarenko, D. Radchenko, and M. Viazovska, *Optimal asymptotic bounds for spherical designs*, Ann. Math. **178** (2013), no. 2, 443–452.
12. N. Boumal, B. Mishra, P.-A. Absil, and R. Sepulchre, *Manopt, a Matlab toolbox for optimization on manifolds*, Journal of Machine Learning Research **15** (2014), 1455–1459.
13. M. Bownik, K. Luoto, and E. Richmond, *A combinatorial characterization of tight fusion frames*, Pacific J. Math. **275** (2015), 257–294.
14. P. G. Casazza and M. Fickus, *Minimizing fusion frame potential*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae **107** (2009), no. 1-3, 7–24.

15. P. G. Casazza, M. Fickus, D. Mixon, Y. Wang, and Z. Zhou, *Constructing tight fusion frames*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **30** (2011), no. 2, 175–187.
16. Y. Chikuse, *Statistics on special manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Statistics, Springer, New York, 2003.
17. H. Cohn and A. Kumar, *Universally optimal distribution of points on spheres*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), 99–148.
18. H. Cohn and J. Woo, *Three-point bounds for energy minimization*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **25** (2012), no. 4, 929–958.
19. F. Cucker and S. Smale, *On the mathematical foundations of learning*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **39** (2001), no. 1, 1–49.
20. A. W. Davis, *Spherical functions on the Grassmann manifold and generalized Jacobi polynomials – part 1*, Lin. Alg. Appl. **289** (1999), no. 1-3, 75–94.
21. C. de Boor, K. Hölling, and S. D. Riemenschneider, *Box Splines*, Springer, New York, 1993.
22. P. de la Harpe and C. Pache, *Cubature formulas, geometrical designs, reproducing kernels, and Markov operators*, Infinite groups: geometric, combinatorial and dynamical aspects (Basel), vol. 248, Birkhäuser, 2005, pp. 219–267.
23. P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel, *Spherical codes and designs*, Geom. Dedicata **6** (1977), 363–388.
24. P. Delsarte and J. J. Seidel, *Fisher type inequalities for Euclidean t-designs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **114–115** (1989), 213–230.
25. R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, 1993.
26. I. Dumitriu, A. Edelman, and G. Shuman, *MOPS: Multivariate orthogonal polynomials (symbolically)*, Journal of Symbolic Computation **42** (2007), no. 6, 587–620.
27. M. Ehler, F. Filbir, and H. N. Mhaskar, *Locally learning biomedical data using diffusion frames*, J. Comput. Biol. **19** (2012), no. 11, 1251–64.
28. H. Engels, *Numerical quadrature and cubature*, Computational mathematics and applications, Academic Press, London, 1980.
29. F. Filbir and H. N. Mhaskar, *A quadrature formula for diffusion polynomials corresponding to a generalized heat kernel*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **16** (2010), no. 5, 629–657.
30. M. Fulmek and C. Krattenthaler, *Lattice path proofs for determinantal formulas for symplectic and orthogonal characters*, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A **77** (1997), no. 1, 3–50.
31. W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation theory, a first course*, Springer, 1991.
32. D. Geller and I. Z. Pesenson, *Band-limited localized Parseval frames and Besov spaces on compact homogeneous manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **21** (2011), no. 2, 334–371.
33. M. Gräf, *Efficient algorithms for the computation of optimal quadrature points on Riemannian manifolds*, Universitätsverlag Chemnitz, 2013.
34. K. Gross and D. St. P. Richards, *Special functions of matrix argument. I: Algebraic induction, zonal polynomials and hypergeometric functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), 781–811.
35. M. T. Harandi, C. Sanderson, S. Shirazi, and B. C. Lovell, *Kernel analysis on Grassmann manifolds for action recognition*, Pattern Recognition Letters **34** (2013), no. 15, 1906–1915.
36. D. P. Hardin and E. B. Saff, *Discretizing manifolds via minimum energy points*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (2004), 1186–1194.
37. ———, *Minimal Riesz energy point configurations for rectifiable d-dimensional manifolds*, Adv. Math. **193** (2005), no. 1, 174–204.
38. S. G. Hoggar, *t-designs in projective spaces*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **3** (1982), 233–254.
39. R. Howe, E.-C. Tan, and J. F. Willenbring, *Stable branching rules for classical symmetric pairs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2004), 1601–1626.
40. A. T. James and A. G. Constantine, *Generalized Jacobi polynomials as spherical functions of the Grassmann manifold*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **29** (1974), no. 3, 174–192.
41. K. Koike, *Young-diagrammatic methods for the representation theory of the classical groups of type b_n, c_n, d_n*, Journal of Algebra **107** (1987), no. 2, 466–511.
42. H. König, *Cubature formulas on spheres*, Adv. Multivar. Approx. Math. Res. **107** (1999), 201–211.
43. A. B. J. Kuijlaars and E. B. Saff, *Asymptotics for minimal discrete energy on the sphere*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), no. 2, 523–538.
44. M. Maggioni and H. N. Mhaskar, *Diffusion polynomial frames on metric measure spaces*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **24** (2008), no. 3, 329–353.

45. P. Massey, M. Ruiz, and D. Stojanoff, *The structure of minimizers of the frame potential on fusion frames*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **16** (2010), no. 4, 514–543.
46. H. N. Mhaskar, *Eignets for function approximation on manifolds*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **29** (2010), 63–87.
47. ———, *A generalized diffusion frame for parsimonious representation of functions on data defined manifolds*, Neural Networks **24** (2011), 345–359.
48. R. J. Muirhead, *Aspects of multivariate statistical theory*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1982.
49. A. Neumaier and J. J. Seidel, *Discrete measures for spherical designs, eutactic stars and lattices*, Indag. Math. **91** (1988), no. 3, 321–334.
50. I. Z. Pesenson and D. Geller, *Cubature formulas and discrete fourier transform on compact manifolds*, From Fourier Analysis and Number Theory to Radon Transforms and Geometry, vol. 28, 2012, pp. 431–453.
51. C. Procesi, *The invariant theory of $n \times n$ matrices*, Adv. Math. **19** (1976), 306–381.
52. D. L. Ragozin, *Polynomial approximation on compact manifolds and homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (1970), 41–53.
53. R. Schaback and H. Wendland, *Kernel techniques: From machine learning to meshless methods*, Acta Numerica (2006), 1–97.
54. P. Seymour and T. Zaslavsky, *Averaging sets: a generalization of mean values and spherical designs*, Advances in Math. **52** (1984), 213–240.
55. I. H. Sloan and R. S. Womersley, *Extremal systems of points and numerical integration on the sphere*, Adv. Comput. Math. **21** (2004), 107–125.
56. I. Steinwart and C. Scovel, *Mercer's theorem on general domains: On the interaction between measures, kernels, and RKHSs*, Constr. Approx. **35** (2011), 363–417.
57. T. T. That, *Lie group representations and harmonic polynomials of a matrix variable*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (1976), 1–46.
58. P. Turaga, A. Veeraraghavan, A. Srivastava, and R. Chellappa, *Statistical computations on Grassmann and Stiefel manifolds for image and video-based recognition*, IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell. **33** (2011), no. 11, 2273–2286.

(M. Ehler) University of Vienna, Department of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1 A-1090 Vienna, *Phone: +43 1 4277 50729*, *Fax: +43 1 4277 850729*,
E-mail address, Corresponding author: martin.ehler@univie.ac.at

(M. Gräf) University of Vienna, Department of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1 A-1090 Vienna, *Phone: +43 1 4277 50429*, *Fax: +43 1 4277 850429*,
E-mail address: manuel.graef@univie.ac.at